Hindawi Publishing Corporation Journal of Discrete Mathematics Volume 2013, Article ID 487546, 6 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2013/487546



Research Article

Q-Analogues of Symbolic Operators

Michael J. Dancs¹ and Tian-Xiao He²

¹ College of Information and Mathematical Sciences, Clayton State University, Morrow, GA 30260-0285, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Tian-Xiao He; the@iwu.edu

Received 1 December 2012; Revised 15 May 2013; Accepted 21 June 2013

Academic Editor: Jun Ji

Copyright © 2013 M. J. Dancs and T.-X. He. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Here presented are q-extensions of several linear operators including a novel q-analogue of the derivative operator D. Some q-analogues of the symbolic substitution rules given by He et al., 2007, are obtained. As sample applications, we show how these q-substitution rules may be used to construct symbolic summation and series transformation formulas, including q-analogues of the classical Euler transformations for accelerating the convergence of alternating series.

1. Definitions and Basic Identities

Unless otherwise stated, we consider all operators to act on formal power series in the single variable t, with coefficients possibly depending on q. We assume that 0 < |q| < 1. Issues of convergence will be addressed in a later paper.

We will use 1 to denote the identity operator and define the following operators:

(1) $E_a f(t) = f(tq)$ (forward multiplicative shift),

(2) $\Delta_a f(t) = f(tq) - f(t)$ (forward *q*-difference),

(3) $L_a f(t) = t(\log q) f'(t)$ (forward logarithmic shift).

The first two of these can be regarded as q-analogues of the ordinary (additive) shift and forward difference operators, respectively. L_q will play a role similar to that of the derivative D.

The operator inverse of E_q (which we denote as E_q^{-1}) clearly exists and is equal to $E_{q^{-1}}$. We define the central q-difference operator δ_q by

$$\delta_a = f(tq^{1/2}) - f(tq^{-1/2}),$$
 (1)

and note that $\delta_q = \Delta_q E_q^{-1/2} = \Delta_q / E_q^{1/2}$, $\delta_q^{2k} = \Delta_q^{2k} E_q^{-k}$.

The previous *q*-operators are linear and satisfy some familiar identities, for example, $E_q = 1 + \Delta_q$. The binomial identity

$$\Delta_{q}^{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} E_{q}^{k}$$
 (2)

can be established by induction or by considering the operator expansion of $(E_q - 1)^n$.

Treating these operators formally, we need only to con-

Treating these operators formally, we need only to consider their effect on nonnegative integer powers of t. E_q , Δ_q , and L_q are "diagonal" in the sense that each maps $t^k \mapsto M(q,k)t^k$, with the function M depending on the particular operator. For example, $\Delta_q[t^k] = (q^k - 1)t^k$ for k > 0, and $\Delta_q[1] = 0$. Similarly, $L_q[t^k] = t^k \log(q^k)$. With this observation, it is easy to verify many additional

With this observation, it is easy to verify many additional identities. For example, consider the alternating geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Delta_q^n$ applied to t^k . We have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Delta_q^n \left[t^k \right] = t^k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(q^k - 1 \right)^n$$

$$= t^k \frac{1}{1 - (1 - q^k)}$$

$$= t^k q^{-k}.$$
(3)

² Department of Mathematics, Illinois Wesleyan University, Bloomington, IL 61702-2900, USA

In other words, this formal power series gives the operator $E_{a^{-1}}$. Stated differently,

$$\left(1 + \Delta_q\right)^{-1} = \left(E_q\right)^{-1} = E_{q^{-1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \Delta_q^n, \tag{4}$$

which is exactly the result we should expect. We may establish the following identities in similar fashion:

$$\left(1 - \Delta_q\right)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Delta_q^n,\tag{5}$$

$$\log\left(1+\Delta_q\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{n} \Delta_q^n = L_q,\tag{6}$$

$$e^{L_q} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} L_q^n = E_{q^*}$$
 (7)

In addition to these last two identities, L_q obeys the product rule

$$L_{q}[f(t)g(t)] = L_{q}[f(t)]g(t) + f(t)L_{q}[g(t)],$$
 (8)

so that L_q is a q-analogue of the ordinary derivative operator D.

2. Main Results

We begin with some q-analogues of the symbolic substitution rules in [1] (specifically, (2.4) and (2.5)).

Proposition 1. Let F(t) have the formal power series expansion $F(t) = \sum_{k \geq 0} f_k t^k$, with coefficients possibly dependent on q. One may obtain operational formulas according to the following rules.

(1) The substitution $t \mapsto E_a$ leads to the symbolic formula

$$F\left(E_q\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k E_q^k. \tag{9}$$

(2) If $F(t) = G(t, e^t)$, the substitution $t \mapsto L_q$ leads to

$$G(L_q, E_q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k L_q^k.$$
 (10)

(3) If $F(t) = G(t, \log(1+t))$, the substitution $t \mapsto \Delta_q$ leads

$$G(\Delta_q, L_q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k \Delta_q^k.$$
 (11)

Note that each of the identities in (5)–(7) can be obtained from elementary Maclaurin series by applying one of these substitution rules. We now present a less trivial example.

For k a positive integer, let $\alpha_k(x)$ denote the Eulerian fraction (cf. [2], p. 245). It is well known that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j^k x^j = \frac{A_k(x)}{(1-x)^{k+1}} = \alpha_k(x), \quad (|x| < 1), \quad (12)$$

where $A_k(x)$ is the kth Eulerian polynomial. Additionally, ([3], p. 24) gives the formula

$$(1 - xe^t)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}.$$
 (13)

Substituting $t \mapsto L_q$ leads to the formal identity

$$(1 - xE_q)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k(x)}{k!} L_q^k.$$
 (14)

We can obtain additional identities in this fashion from other expansions of $(1-xe^t)^{-1}$. For example, if $x \ne 0$ and $x \ne 1$, we have the following analogues of (3.1)–(3.4) in [4]:

$$(1 - xE_q)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1 - x)^{k+1}} \Delta_q^k,$$
 (15)

$$(1 - xE_q)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \right)^{k+1} \left(x^{-1} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} - \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right),$$
 (16)

$$\left(1 - xE_q\right)^{-1} = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^2}\right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^{k-1}} - x\frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k}\right). \tag{17}$$

Direct proofs of (14)–(17) are given in Section 5.

Proposition 2. For a given function f(t), define $F_q(x) = \sum_{k\geq 0} f(q^k)x^k$. If $x\neq 0$ and $x\neq 1$,

$$F_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k(x)}{k!} L_q^k f(1), \qquad (18)$$

$$F_q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} \Delta_q^k f(1),$$
 (19)

$$F_{q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^{2}}\right)^{k+1} \left(x^{-1}\delta_{q}^{2k}f(1) - \delta_{q}^{2k}f(q^{-1})\right),\tag{20}$$

$$F_{q}(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^{2}} \right)^{k+1} \left(\delta_{q}^{2k} f(q) - x \delta_{q}^{2k} f(1) \right).$$
(21)

Proof. Clearly, these follow by applying the operators in (14)–(17) to the function f(t) and then evaluating at t=1.

3. Some Applications

As an application, taking $f(t) = 1/(\log_q(t) + 1)$, x = -1 in (19) leads to

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1} = \sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k {k \choose j} (-1)^{k-j} \frac{1}{j+1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j {k+1 \choose j+1} \qquad (22)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(k+1)} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \sum_{k=1}^k \frac{1}{k2^k},$$

which gives

$$\ln 2 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2^2} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^3} + \frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^4} + \cdots$$
 (23)

The rate of convergence of this series is $O(1/2^n)$, much faster than

$$\ln 2 = \sum_{k>0} (-1)^k \frac{1}{k+1},\tag{24}$$

whose convergence rate is O(1/n).

As for a second application, we may substitute x = -1 in Proposition 2, obtaining the following series transformation formulas:

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k f\left(q^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_k (-1)}{k!} L_q^k f\left(1\right),$$

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k f\left(q^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{2^{k+1}} \Delta_q^k f\left(1\right),$$

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} (-1)^k f\left(q^k\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{4^{k+1}} \left(\delta_q^{2k} f\left(1\right) + \delta_q^{2k} f\left(q^{-1}\right)\right),$$

$$\sum_{k\geq 1} (-1)^k f\left(q^k\right) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{-1}{4}\right)^{k+1} \left(\delta_q^{2k} f\left(q\right) + \delta_q^{2k} f\left(1\right)\right).$$
(25)

These four identities appear to be novel and could be used to accelerate slowly convergent alternating series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k f(q^k)$. We consider them as *q*-analogues of the ordinary Euler transformations.

4. Extensions of the Main Results

All operational formulas presented in Proposition 1 can be extended and the corresponding symbolic substitution formulas established accordingly with an analogous form of (10). For example, we may consider a generating function of the form

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} f_k t^k = F\left(t, e^t, e^{\alpha t}\right). \tag{26}$$

Letting $t \mapsto L_q$ gives

$$\sum_{k>0} f_k L_q^k = F\left(L_q, E_q, E_q^{\alpha}\right). \tag{27}$$

3

Applying this to the well-known identity

$$\sum_{k>0} \frac{4^k}{(2k)!} B_{2k} t^{2k} = t \coth t = t \frac{e^t + e^{-t}}{e^t - e^{-t}},$$
 (28)

with B_n being the *n*th Bernoulli number, we obtain

$$\sum_{k>0} \frac{4^k}{(2k)!} B_{2k} L_q^{2k} = L_q \frac{E_q + E_q^{-1}}{E_q - E_q^{-1}}.$$
 (29)

Hence, we obtain a symbolic formula

$$\sum_{k>0} \frac{4^k}{(2k)!} B_{2k} L_q^{2k-1} \left(E_q - E_q^{-1} \right) = E_q + E_q^{-1}. \tag{30}$$

Applying this to an infinitely differentiable function f(t) at t = 1 yields

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} \frac{4^k}{(2k)!} B_{2k} L_q^{2k-1} \left(E_q - E_q^{-1} \right) f(1) = \left(E_q + E_q^{-1} \right) f(1). \tag{31}$$

Similarly, using the symbolic relation

$$L_{q} \frac{E_{q} + E_{q}^{-1}}{E_{q} - E_{q}^{-1}} = L_{q} \left(1 + \Delta_{q}^{-1} - \left(E_{q} + 1 \right)^{-1} \right), \tag{32}$$

we obtain another operational formula

$$-1 + \sum_{k>0} \frac{4^k}{(2k)!} B_{2k} L_q^{2k-1} + (E_q + 1)^{-1} = \Delta_q^{-1}, \quad (33)$$

from which one may construct a series transformation formula.

Another extension is a q-analogue of the symbolic formulas presented in [5], which is actually a Newton series type extension of the symbolic expansions given in [1]. Consider

$$(1 + E_q)^x f(1) = \sum_{k \ge 0} {x \choose k} E_q^k f(1) = \sum_{k \ge 0} f(q^k) \frac{(x)_k}{k!}, \quad (34)$$

where $(x)_k = x(x-1)\cdots(x-k+1)$. We have

$$(1 + E_q)^x = 2^x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x)_k}{2^k k!} \Delta_q^k,$$

$$(35)$$

$$(1 + E_q)^x$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} \left[{}_2F_1(k - x, 2k + 1; k + 1; -1) + \frac{x - k}{k + 1} \right]$$

$$\times {}_2F_1(k + 1 - x, 2k + 2; k + 2; -1)$$

$$\times E_q^{-1} \Delta_q \delta_q^{2k},$$

$$(36)$$

$$(1 + E_q)^x$$

$$= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {x \choose k+1}$$

$$\times \left[{}_{2}F_{1}(k+1-x,2k+2;k+2;-1)E_{q} - \frac{x-k-1}{k+2} \right]$$

$$\times {}_{2}F_{1}(k+2-x,2k+2;k+3;-1) \delta_{q}^{2k}.$$
(37)

Finally, we present an extension of (14) using Bell polynomials (see, e.g., p. 134 in [2]) as follows:

$$\left(1 + E_q\right)^x = 2^x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k^{(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots\right) \frac{L_q^k}{k!},\tag{38}$$

where the values of potential Bell polynomials at (1/2,1/2,...) are defined by

$$2^{x} P_{k}^{(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots \right) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \ell^{k} \frac{(x)_{\ell}}{\ell!}.$$
 (39)

For a given function f(t), define $F_q(x) = \sum_{k \ge 0} f(q^k)(x)_k / k!$. From (35)–(38), we obtain series transformation formulas by simply applying (35)–(37) to f:

$$F_{q}(x) = 2^{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(x)_{k}}{2^{k} k!} \Delta_{q}^{k} f(1), \qquad (40)$$

$$\begin{split} F_{q}\left(x\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{x}{k} \left[\, _{2}F_{1}\left(k-x,2k+1;k+1;-1\right) + \frac{x-k}{k+1} \right. \\ &\qquad \times \, _{2}F_{1}\left(k+1-x,2k+2;k+2;-1\right) \\ &\qquad \times E_{q}^{-1}\Delta_{q} \left] \, \delta_{q}^{2k} f\left(1\right), \end{split} \tag{41}$$

(35)
$$F_{q}(x) = 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {x \choose k+1}$$

$$\times \left[{}_{2}F_{1}(k+1-x,2k+2;k+2;-1)E_{q} \right]$$

$$-\frac{x-k-1}{k+2} {}_{2}F_{1}(k+2-x,2k+2;k+3;-1)$$

$$\times \delta_{q}^{2k} f(1),$$

$$F_{q}(x) = 2^{x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}^{(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \right) \frac{L_{q}^{k}}{k!} f(1).$$
(42)

As an example, substituting $f(t) = t^n$ into (43) and noting

$$L_{q}f(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} \Delta_{q}^{j} f(1)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{j} (q^{n} - 1)^{j}$$

$$= \log(1 + (q^{n} - 1))$$

$$= n \log q,$$
(44)

yields the series transformation formula from

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{kn} \frac{(x)_k}{k!} = 2^x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P_j^{(x)} \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots\right) \frac{(n \log q)^j}{j!}.$$
 (45)

5. Selected Proofs

Here we present the proofs of (14)–(17) in the sense of symbolic calculus, namely, every series expansion is considered as a formal series.

For proving (14), it suffices to make use of $E_q = e^{L_q}$ and (12). Indeed we have

$$(1 - xE_q)^{-1} = (1 - xe^{L_q})^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k e^{kL_q}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{kL_q}{j!}\right)^j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k k^j\right) \frac{L_q^j}{j!}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j(x) \frac{L_q^j}{j!}.$$
(46)

Equation (15) may be derived as follows:

$$(1 - xE_q)^{-1} = (1 - x(1 + \Delta_q))^{-1}$$

$$= (1 - x)^{-1} \left(1 - \frac{x\Delta_q}{1 - x}\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k \Delta_q^k}{(1 - x)^{k+1}}.$$
(47)

To prove (16) and (17), we first establish the following lemma.

Lemma 3. Let $\beta = 1 + \alpha$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, and let x be any real number. One has symbolic identities involving the first Gauss series as follows:

$$\beta^{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\begin{pmatrix} x+k \\ 2k \end{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha^{2k}}{\beta^{k}} + \begin{pmatrix} x+k \\ 2k+1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{\alpha^{2k+1}}{\beta^{k+1}} \right], \tag{48}$$

and a modified q-form of Gauss's first symbolic expression (cf. Section 127 of [6]):

$$E_{q}^{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{x+k}{2k} \frac{\Delta_{q}^{2k}}{E_{q}^{k}} + \binom{x+k}{2k+1} \frac{\Delta_{q}^{2k+1}}{E_{q}^{k+1}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{x+k}{2k} \delta_{q}^{2k} + \binom{x+k}{2k+1} \Delta_{q} \delta_{q}^{2k} E_{q^{-1}} \right].$$
(49)

Proof. Starting from the following Newton's formula:

$$\beta^{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {x \choose k} \alpha^{k}, \tag{50}$$

we multiply $(\alpha + 1)/\beta = 1$ to the summation from the term α up and obtain

$$\beta^{x} = 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} {x \choose k} \frac{\alpha^{k} (1 + \alpha)}{\beta}$$

$$= 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{k+1}}{\beta} \left[{x \choose k} + {x \choose k+1} \right]$$

$$= 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} {x+1 \choose k+1} \frac{\alpha^{k+1}}{\beta}$$

$$= 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta}$$

$$+ {x+1 \choose 3} \frac{\alpha^{3}}{\beta} + \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} {x+1 \choose k+1} \frac{\alpha^{k+1}}{\beta}.$$
(51)

Repeating the operation on the series from the term α^3 up yields

$$\beta^{x} = 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 3} \frac{\alpha^{3}}{\beta^{2}} + {x+1 \choose 3} \frac{\alpha^{4}}{\beta^{2}}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} {x+1 \choose k+1} \frac{\alpha^{k+1} (1+\alpha)}{\beta^{2}}$$

$$= 1 + {x \choose 1} \frac{\alpha}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 2} \frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} + {x+1 \choose 3} \frac{\alpha^{3}}{\beta^{2}} + {x+2 \choose 4} \frac{\alpha^{4}}{\beta^{2}}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} {x+2 \choose k+2} \frac{\alpha^{k+2}}{\beta^{2}}.$$
(52)

The above operation is repeated from α^5 up, and so on. We obtain

$$\beta^{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{x+k}{2k} \frac{\alpha^{2k}}{\beta^{k}} + \binom{x+k}{2k+1} \frac{\alpha^{2k+1}}{\beta^{k+1}} \right]. \tag{53}$$

Substituting $\beta = E_q$ and $\alpha = \Delta_q$ into the above identity, we obtain the desired result. \Box

Equations (16) and (17) can be proved using the first Gauss symbolic expression (49) and the following q-form of the Everett's symbolic expression (cf. [6], Section 129), respectively.

$$E_{q}^{x} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{x+k}{2k+1} \frac{\Delta_{q}^{2k}}{E_{q}^{k-1}} - \binom{x+k-1}{2k+1} \frac{\Delta_{q}^{2k}}{E_{q}^{k}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\binom{x+k}{2k+1} E_{q} \delta_{q}^{2k} - \binom{x+k-1}{2k+1} \delta_{q}^{2k} \right].$$
(54)

Indeed, using (49) and noting the identity

$$\sum_{m=k}^{\infty} {m \choose k} x^m = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} \quad (|x| < 1), \tag{55}$$

one may derive (16) as follows:

$$\begin{split} \left(1-xE_q\right)^{-1} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(xE_q\right)^j \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+k}{2k} x^j\right) \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{j+k}{2k+1} x^j\right) \frac{\Delta_q^{2k+1}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right\} \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{2k+1}} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} + \frac{x^{k+1}}{(1-x)^{2k+2}} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k+1}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \right)^{k+1} \left(\frac{1-x}{x} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} + \frac{\Delta_q^{2k+1}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \right)^{k+1} \left(x^{-1} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} - \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right). \tag{56}$$

Equation (17) can be proved similarly using (54). However, it can also be verified by a direct symbolic computations. In fact, we have

RHS of (17)

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{x}{(1-x)^2}\right)^k \left(\frac{\Delta_q^2}{E_q}\right)^k \left(E_q - x\right)$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \frac{E_q - x}{1 - \left(x/(1-x)^2\right) \left(\Delta_q^2/E_q\right)}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{x\left(E_q - x\right)}{(1-x)^2 - x\left(\Delta_q^2/E_q\right)}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{E_q x\left(E_q - x\right)}{(1-x)^2 E_q - x\left(E_q - 1\right)^2}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{E_q x}{1 - x E_q}$$

$$= \left(1 - x E_q\right)^{-1}$$

$$= \text{LHS of (17)}.$$
(57)

This completes the proofs of (14)–(17). The proof of (35) is straightforward:

$$(1 + E_q)^x = (2 + \Delta_q)^x$$

$$= 2^x \left(1 + \frac{\Delta_q}{2}\right)^x$$

$$= 2^x \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(x)_k}{k! 2^k} \Delta_q^k.$$
(58)

To prove (36), we use (49) as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \left(1 + E_q\right)^x \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j \ge 1} \binom{x}{j} E_q^j \\ &= 1 + \sum_{i \ge 1} \binom{x}{j} \sum_{k \ge 0} \left[\binom{k+j}{2k} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_a^k} + \binom{k+j}{2k+1} \frac{\Delta_q^{2k+1}}{E_a^{k+1}} \right] \end{split}$$

$$= \sum_{k\geq 0} \left[\frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} \sum_{j\geq k} {x \choose j} {k+j \choose 2k} + \frac{\Delta_q^{2k+1}}{E_q^{k+1}} \sum_{j\geq k+1} {x \choose j} {k+j \choose 2k+1} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{k\geq 0} \left[{x \choose k} {}_2F_1 (k-x, 2k+1; k+1; -1) \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^k} + {x \choose k+1} {}_2F_1 (k+1-x, 2k+2; k+2; -1) \frac{\Delta_q^{2k}}{E_q^{k+1}} \right],$$
(59)

which implies (36). Equation (37) can be proved similarly using Everett's symbolic expression (54).

For (38), we first have

$$(1 + E_q)^x = (1 + e^{L_q})^x = \sum_{j \ge 0} {x \choose j} e^{jL_q}$$

$$= \sum_{j \ge 0} {x \choose j} \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{(jL_q)^k}{k!} = \sum_{k \ge 0} \left(\sum_{j \ge 0} \frac{(x)_j j^k}{j!} \right) \frac{L_q^k}{k!}.$$
(61)

Using (39), we may write the part in the parenthesis of the rightmost term as $2^{x}P_{k}^{(x)}(1/2, 1/2, ...)$ to finish.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the editor and anonymous referees for their very helpful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] T.-X. He, L. C. Hsu, and P. J.-S. Shiue, "Symbolization of generating functions; an application of the Mullin-Rota theory of binomial enumeration," *Computers & Mathematics with Applications*, vol. 54, no. 5, pp. 664–678, 2007.
- [2] L. Comtet, Advanced Combinatorics, Chapters 1, 3, Reidel, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1974.
- [3] X. Wang and L. C. Hsu, "A summation formula for power series using Eulerian fractions," *Fibonacci Quarterly*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 23–30, 2003.
- [4] T. X. He, L. C. Hsu, P. J.-S. Shiue, and D. C. Torney, "A symbolic operator approach to several summation formulas for power series," *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 177, no. 1, pp. 17–33, 2005.
- [5] Q. Fang, M. Xu, and T. M. Wang, "A symbolic operator approach to Newton series," *Journal of Mathematical Research and Exposition*, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 67–72, 2011.
- [6] C. Jordan, Calculus of Finite Differences, Chelsea, New York, NY, USA, 1965.