Thomas Ernst

q-ANALOGUES OF GENERAL REDUCTION FORMULAS BY BUSCHMAN AND SRIVASTAVA AND AN IMPORTANT q-OPERATOR REMINDING OF MACROBERT

Abstract. We find four q-analogues of general reduction formulas from Buschman and Srivastava together with some special cases, e.g. q-analogues of reduction formulas for Appell- and Kampé de Fériet functions. A proper q-analogue of the notation $\Delta(l;\lambda)$ by MacRobert, Meijer and Srivastava is given, and the definition of q-hypergeometric series is generalized accordingly.

1. Introduction

The umbral method for q-calculus [2] - [8], consisting of logarithmic q-shifted factorials, the tilde operator, a comfortable notation for q-powers, the symbol for real infinity, equivalent to the zero in Gasper-Rahman [10], the q-Kampé de Fériet function, compare with [3], are the main ingredients in this new method, which will increase our knowledge of q-calculus, advocated in the beginning of the last century by the late Cambridge student, reverend F. H. Jackson. All the topics above are not new; they have been presented in the book [9].

In this article, the important notation $\triangle(l;\lambda)$ of MacRobert [11], Meijer and Srivastava [15] for a certain array of l parameters is given its proper q-analogue with the aid of a generalized tilde operator; in this paper we only consider the cases l=2,3, but a general definition is given. A deep knowledge of the $\triangle(l;\lambda)$ operator is necessary to grasp the subtleties of multiple hypergeometric functions. This \triangle -operator has a very long history in the field of special functions, in particular in India, which we will come back to in later papers.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 33D70; Secondary 33D15. Key words and phrases: Buschman and Srivastava reduction formulas, MacRobert $\triangle(l;\lambda)$ operator; reduction formulas for Appell functions.

Buschman and Srivastava [1] have proved a great number of double series identities with general terms. We will find q-analogues of most of these formulas like in [3]; the method of proof will be similar except that we now use the q-Dixon- and q-Watson summation formulas. Some of the obtained formulas are symmetric in two variables, just as in the undeformed case. We pick out a form of these formulas, which converges nicely for small values of x. A list of different formulations of the Buschman-Srivastava formulas and their q-analogues in various journals and books is given, for better orientation.

This paper is organized as follows: In this section we give a general introduction. In section 2, four q-analogues of Buschman–Srivastava formulas are given. In section 3, we apply the Buschman–Srivastava formulas to find q-analogues of reduction formulas for Appell and Kampé de Fériet functions; the Δ operator appears only in the Heine function. In other papers, the Δ operator can appear also in the q-Kampé de Fériet function.

DEFINITION 1. The power function is defined by $q^a \equiv e^{alog(q)}$. Let $\delta > 0$ be an arbitrary small number. We will use the following branch of the logarithm: $-\pi + \delta < \operatorname{Im}(\log q) \le \pi + \delta$. This defines a simply connected space in the complex plane.

The variables $a, b, c, \ldots \in \mathbb{C}$ denote certain parameters. The variables i, j, k, l, m, n, p, r will denote natural numbers except for certain cases where it will be clear from the context that i will denote the imaginary unit.

Let the q-shifted factorial be defined by

(1)
$$\langle a; q \rangle_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0; \\ \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1 - q^{a+m}) & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

Since products of q-shifted factorials occur so often, to simplify them we shall frequently use the more compact notation

(2)
$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_m; q \rangle_n \equiv \prod_{j=1}^m \langle a_j; q \rangle_n.$$

Let the Γ_q -function be defined in the unit disk 0 < |q| < 1 by

(3)
$$\Gamma_q(x) \equiv \frac{\langle 1; q \rangle_{\infty}}{\langle x; q \rangle_{\infty}} (1 - q)^{1 - x}.$$

The following notation will prove convenient, since many of our formulas contain exponents with upper and lower indices, which become less legible in the Gasper–Rahman notation.

(4)
$$QE(x) \equiv q^x.$$

The operator

$$\sim$$
: $\frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$

is defined by

$$(5) a \mapsto a + \frac{\pi i}{\log q}.$$

By (5) it follows that

(6)
$$\widetilde{\langle a;q\rangle_n} = \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} (1+q^{a+m}).$$

Assume that (m, l) = 1, i.e. m and l relatively prime. The operator

$$\frac{\widetilde{m}}{l}: \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}} \mapsto \frac{\mathbb{C}}{\mathbb{Z}}$$

is defined by

(7)
$$a \mapsto a + \frac{2\pi i m}{l \log q}.$$

We will also need another generalization of the tilde operator.

(8)
$$_{k}\langle \widetilde{a};q\rangle_{n} \equiv \prod_{m=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{i(a+m)}\right).$$

This leads to the following q-analogue of [12, p.22, (2)].

THEOREM 1.1 ([6]).

(9)
$$\langle a; q \rangle_{kn} = \prod_{m=0}^{k-1} \left\langle \frac{a+m}{k}; q \rangle_n \times_k \left\langle \frac{a+m}{k}; q \right\rangle_n.$$

DEFINITION 2. A q-analogue of a notation due to Thomas MacRobert (1884–1962) [11, p. 135] and Srivastava [15]. This notation was also often used for the Meijer G-function and the Fox H-function (q = 1).

(10)
$$\langle \triangle(q;l;\lambda); q \rangle_n \equiv \prod_{m=0}^{l-1} \left\langle \frac{\lambda+m}{l}; q \right\rangle_n \times_l \left\langle \frac{\lambda+m}{l}; q \right\rangle_n.$$

When λ is a vector, we mean the corresponding product of vector elements. When λ is replaced by a sequence of numbers separated by commas, we mean the corresponding product as in the case of q-shifted factorials. The last factor in (10) corresponds to l^{nl} .

1.1. Definition of the q-Kampé de Fériet function We will give a definition reminding of [10], which allows easy confluence to diminish the dimension in (12), and has the advantage of beeing symmetric in the variables. Furthermore, q is allowed to be a vector and the full machinery of tilde operators and q-additions will be used.

In the following two definitions we put

(11)
$$\widehat{a} \equiv a \vee \widetilde{a} \vee \frac{\widetilde{m}}{n} a \vee_k \widetilde{a} \vee \triangle(q; l; \lambda).$$

The following definition is a q-analogue of [16, (24), p. 38], in the spirit of Srivastava.

DEFINITION 3. Let

$$(a), (b), (g_i), (h_i), (a'), (b'), (g'_i), (h'_i)$$

have dimensions

$$A, B, G_i, H_i, A', B', G'_i, H'_i$$
.

Let

$$1 + B + B' + H_i + H_i' - A - A' - G_i - G_i' \ge 0, i = 1, ..., n.$$

Then the generalized q-Kampé de Fériet function is defined by

$$(12) \quad \Phi_{B+B':H_{1}+H'_{1};...;G_{n}+G'_{n}}^{A+A':G_{1}+G'_{1};...;G_{n}+G'_{n}} \begin{bmatrix} (\hat{a}):(\hat{g_{1}});...;(\hat{g_{n}}) \\ (\hat{b}):(\hat{h_{1}});...;(\hat{h_{n}}) \end{bmatrix} |\vec{q};\vec{x}| | \begin{pmatrix} (a'):(g'_{1});...;(g'_{n}) \\ (b'):(h'_{1});...;(h'_{n}) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\equiv \sum_{\vec{m}} \frac{\langle (\hat{a});q_{0}\rangle_{m}(a')(q_{0},m) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\langle (\hat{g_{j}});q_{j}\rangle_{m_{j}}((g'_{j})(q_{j},m_{j})x_{j}^{m_{j}})}{\langle (\hat{b});q_{0}\rangle_{m}(b')(q_{0},m) \prod_{j=1}^{n} (\langle (\hat{h_{j}});q_{j}\rangle_{m_{j}}(h'_{j})(q_{j},m_{j})\langle 1;q_{j}\rangle_{m_{j}})} \times (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{n} m_{j}(1+H_{j}+H'_{j}-G_{j}-G'_{j}+B+B'-A-A')} \times \text{QE}\left((B+B'-A-A')\binom{m}{2},q_{0}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n} \text{QE}\left((1+H_{j}+H'_{j}-G_{j}-G'_{j})\binom{m_{j}}{2},q_{j}\right).$$

We assume that no factors in the denominator are zero. We assume that $(a')(q_0, m), (g'_j)(q_j, m_j), (b')(q_0, m), (h'_j)(q_j, m_j)$ contain factors of the form $\langle a(k); q \rangle_k, (s; q)_k, (s(k); q)_k$ or $QE(f(\vec{m}))$.

DEFINITION 4. Generalizing Heine's series we shall define a q hypergeometric series by

$$(13) \qquad {}_{p+p'}\phi_{r+r'} \left[\begin{array}{c} \hat{a}_1, \dots, \hat{a}_p \\ \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_r \end{array} | q; z| | \prod_j f_i(k) \right]$$

$$\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \hat{a}_1; q \rangle_k \dots \langle \hat{a}_p; q \rangle_k}{\langle 1, \hat{b}_1; q \rangle_k \dots \langle \hat{b}_r; q \rangle_k} \left[(-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \right]^{1+r+r'-p-p'} z^k \frac{\prod_i f_i(k)}{\prod_j g_j(k)}.$$

We assume that the $f_i(k)$ and $g_j(k)$ contain p' and r' factors of the form $\langle \widehat{a(k)}; q \rangle_k$ or $(s(k); q)_k$ respectively. In case of $\triangle(q; l; \lambda)$, the index is adapted accordingly. When we have a sequence of elements a_i , we can denote them by (A).

1.2. Two lemmata In the following three proofs we will use the finite q-Dixon theorem.

THEOREM 1.2. [3, p. 210 (39)]

$$\begin{cases}
4\phi_{3} \begin{bmatrix}
-2k, b, c, \widehat{1-k} \\
1-2k-b, 1-2k-c, -k
\end{bmatrix} \\
= \sum_{j=0}^{2k} {2k \choose j}_{q} \frac{\langle b, c, \widehat{1-k}; q \rangle_{j} (-1)^{j} \operatorname{QE}(\binom{j}{2} + j(1-3k-b-c))}{\langle 1-2k-b, 1-2k-c, -k; q \rangle_{j}} \\
= \frac{\langle 1-2k-b-c, \widehat{1-k}, \widehat{b}; q \rangle_{k}}{\langle 1-2k-c, \widehat{1-k}, b+k; q \rangle_{k}} \langle \frac{1}{2}; q^{2} \rangle_{k}; \\
4\phi_{3} \begin{bmatrix}
-k, b, c, \widehat{1-\frac{k}{2}} \\
1-k-b, 1-k-c, -\frac{k}{2}
\end{bmatrix} | q; q^{1-\frac{k}{2}-b-c} \end{bmatrix} = 0, k \text{ odd}.
\end{cases}$$

In another proof we will use a q-analogue of the Watson formula [1].

THEOREM 1.3. [6, p. 170 (43)]

$$(15) \quad _{4}\phi_{3}\left[\begin{array}{c} \frac{c}{2}, \frac{\widetilde{c}}{2}, a, -N \\ \frac{-N+1+a}{2}, \frac{-N+1+a}{2}, c \end{array} | q; q\right] = \begin{cases} = \frac{\left\langle \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1+c-a}{2}; q^{2}\right\rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}}{\left\langle \frac{1-a}{2}, \frac{1+c}{2}; q^{2}\right\rangle_{\frac{N}{2}}}, & if \ N \ even; \\ 0, & if \ N \ odd. \end{cases}$$

2. q-analogues of Buschman–Srivastava double sums

The Buschman–Srivastava paper [1] was a landmark for the studies of multiple q-hypergeometric series. Some of these formulas had previously been published in other form by Shanker and Saran [13]. Srivastava and Jain [17] have found q-analogues of some of these formulas, some of which are included in the book [9]. The following table summarizes the connection between the various formulas and the methods of proof; the four references are in chronological order.

[13]	[14]	[1]	[18]	Proof	Equation no.
_	(4)	3.2	33	q-Vandermonde	[3](62), (66)
_	(5)	3.7	49	finite Bailey-Daum	[3](68)
_	(17)	3.3	44	finite Bailey-Daum	[3](81)
b p. 10	_	2.7, 3.4	46	finite q -Dixon	(16)

[13]	[14]	[1]	[18]	Proof	Equation no.
c p.10	_	2.8, 3.6	48	finite q -Dixon	(18)
_	_	2.9, 3.8	50	finite q -Dixon	(20)
a p.10	_	3.10, 3.5	47	q-Watson	(23)

We are now going to find a number of general double sums. Since the convergence problem is rather delicate, we try to choose the most proper form with respect to an arbitrary q-power. Sometimes we add this q-power afterwards, to save space in the proof. In the following, a statement like $a \neq k$ will mean $a \neq k, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Everywhere the symbol $\{C_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ denotes a bounded sequence of complex numbers. It is assumed that both sides converge. Note that the formulas (18), (20) and (23) are symmetric in two variables.

Theorem 2.1. A q-analogue of Buschman, Srivastava [1, p. 437 (2.7)].

(16)
$$\sum_{m,n} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^n \langle g; q \rangle_m \langle g, 1 - \underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n \operatorname{QE} \left(- \frac{n}{2} - \frac{nm}{2} \right)}{\langle 1, h; q \rangle_m \langle 1, h, -\underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n}$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle g, h - g, 1 - N; q \rangle_N}{\langle 1, \widetilde{1}, h, \frac{h}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{h}{2}}, \frac{h+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{h+1}{2}}; q \rangle_N} q^{\binom{N}{2} + Ng}, -h \neq k.$$

Proof.

$$(17) \quad LHS = \sum_{N,n} \frac{C_N x^N (-1)^n \langle g; q \rangle_{N-n} \langle g, \widehat{1 - \frac{N}{2}}; q \rangle_n \operatorname{QE} \left(\binom{n}{2} - \frac{nN}{2} \right)}{\langle 1, h; q \rangle_{N-n} \langle 1, h, -\frac{N}{2}; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0} \frac{C_N x^N q^{N(g-h)} \langle -g+1-N; q \rangle_N}{\langle 1, -h+1-N; q \rangle_N}$$

$$\sum_{n} \frac{(-1)^n \binom{N}{n}_q \langle g, -h+1-N, \widehat{1 - \frac{N}{2}}; q \rangle_n \operatorname{QE} \left(\binom{n}{2} + n(h-g-\frac{N}{2}) \right)}{\langle h, -g+1-N, -\frac{N}{2}; q \rangle_n}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by}(14)}{=} \sum_{N=0} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} q^{2N(g-h)} \langle -g+1-2N; q \rangle_{2N}}{\langle 1, -h+1-2N; q \rangle_{2N}} \Gamma_q \begin{bmatrix} 1-2N-g, h, 1-N, h-g+N \\ 1-2N, h-g, 1-N-g, h+N \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle h-g, \widehat{1-N}, \widetilde{g}; q \rangle_N \langle -g+1-2N; q \rangle_{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2}; q^2 \rangle_N \operatorname{QE} \left(\binom{2N}{2} + 2Ng \right)}{\langle h, \frac{h}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{h}{2}}, \frac{h+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{h+1}{2}}, N+g, \widehat{1-N}-g; q \rangle_N \langle 1; q \rangle_{2N}} = RHS. \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 2.2. A q-analogue of [1, p. 438 (2.8)].

(18)
$$\sum_{m,n} \frac{C_{m+n}x^{m+n}(-1)^n \langle g, h; q \rangle_m \langle g, h, 1 - \underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n}}{\langle 1; q \rangle_m \langle 1, -\underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n}} \operatorname{QE}\left(\frac{-n + mn}{2}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}C_{2N}x^{2N}\frac{\langle g,h,\widetilde{1-N},\frac{g+h}{2},\frac{g+h+1}{2},\widetilde{\frac{g+h}{2}},\widetilde{\frac{g+h+1}{2}};q\rangle_Nq^{\binom{N}{2}}}{\langle 1,\widetilde{1},g+h;q\rangle_N},\ -h-g\neq k.$$

Proof. We prove an equivalent formula.

$$(19) \sum_{m,n} \frac{C_{m+n}x^{m+n}(-1)^n \langle g,h;q \rangle_m \langle g,h,\widehat{1-\frac{m+n}{2}};q \rangle_n}{\langle 1;q \rangle_m \langle 1,-\frac{m+n}{2};q \rangle_n} \operatorname{QE}\left(\frac{(n-3mn)}{2}+m\right) \\ \times \operatorname{QE}\left(-m^2-n^2-(m+n)(g+h)\right) \\ = \sum_{N,n} C_N x^N (-1)^n \langle g,h;q \rangle_{N-n} \langle g,h,\widehat{1-\frac{N}{2}};q \rangle_n \\ \times \frac{\operatorname{QE}\left(\frac{(-n^2-n+Nn)}{2}+N-N^2-N(g+h)\right)}{\langle 1;q \rangle_{N-n} \langle 1,-\frac{N}{2};q \rangle_n} \\ = \sum_{N,n} C_N x^N (-1)^n \\ \frac{\binom{N}{n}_q \langle -g+1-N,-h+1-N;q \rangle_N \langle g,h,\widehat{1-\frac{N}{2}};q \rangle_n \operatorname{QE}\left(\binom{n}{2}+n(1-h-g-\frac{3N}{2})\right)}{\langle 1;q \rangle_N \langle -h+1-N,-g+1-N,-\frac{N}{2};q \rangle_n} \\ \stackrel{\text{by}(\underline{14})}{=} \sum_{N=0} \underbrace{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \widehat{1-N}, \widehat{g},1-2N-g-h;q \rangle_N \langle 1-2N-g,1-2N-h;q \rangle_{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2};q^2 \rangle_N}_{\langle g+N,\widehat{1-N}-g,1-2N-h;q \rangle_N \langle 1;q \rangle_{2N}} \\ = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \widehat{1-N}, \widehat{g},g+h+N;q \rangle_N \langle g,h;q \rangle_{2N} \operatorname{QE}\left(-4N^2+2N-N(3g+2h)\right)}_{\langle N+h,\widehat{1-N}-g,g+N,\widehat{1},\widehat{1};q \rangle_N} \\ = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \underbrace{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \widehat{1-N}, \widehat{g},g+h+N;q \rangle_N \langle g,h;q \rangle_{2N} \operatorname{QE}\left(-4N^2+2N-N(2g+2h)\right)}_{\langle 1,\widehat{1},g+h;q \rangle_N}.$$

Finally, multiply C_n by QE $(2\binom{n}{2}+n(g+h))$.

THEOREM 2.3. A q-analogue of [1, p. 438 (2.9)].

$$(20) \sum_{m,n} \frac{C_{m+n}x^{m+n}(-1)^m \langle 1 - \frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma, -\frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n \langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_m} \operatorname{QE}\left(-\frac{n}{2} - \frac{3mn}{2} + \frac{(m+n)^2}{4}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N}x^{2N} \langle -1 + \nu + \sigma; q \rangle_{3N} \langle 1 - N; q \rangle_N (-1)^N}{\langle 1, \widetilde{1}, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_N \langle \nu, \sigma, -1 + \nu + \sigma; q \rangle_{2N}}, \ \nu, \sigma, \nu + \sigma - 1 \neq -k.$$

Proof.

$$(21) \quad LHS$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{C_N x^N (-1)^{N-n} \langle \widetilde{1 - \frac{N}{2}}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma, -\frac{\widetilde{N}}{2}; q \rangle_n \langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_{N-n}} \operatorname{QE} \left(3 \binom{n}{2} - \frac{3nN}{2} + n + \frac{N^2}{4} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_N x^N q^{\frac{N^2}{4}} (-1)^N}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_N} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\langle -N, -\nu + 1 - N, -\sigma + 1 - N, \widetilde{1 - \frac{N}{2}}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma, -\frac{\widetilde{N}}{2}; q \rangle_n}$$

$$\times q^{n(\frac{3N}{2} - 1 + \nu + \sigma)}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \widetilde{1 - N}, \widetilde{1 - 2N} - \nu, 2N + \nu + \sigma - 1; q \rangle_N \langle \frac{1}{2}; q^2 \rangle_N}{\langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_{2N} \langle \sigma, \widetilde{\nu + N}, 1 - \nu - N; q \rangle_N},$$

where we have used (14) for the q-Dixon theorem. \blacksquare

Before we prove the next formula, we remind the reader that the following q-analogue of [1, p. 440 (3.10)] has been found by Srivastava and Jain [17, p.217, 2.2]:

(22)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^n \langle a, \widetilde{a}; q \rangle_m \langle b, \widetilde{b}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, 2a; q \rangle_m \langle 1, 2b; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle a + b, \widetilde{a + b}; q \rangle_{2N}}{\langle 1, a + \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}, a + b; q^2 \rangle_N}.$$

THEOREM 2.4. Another q-analogue of [1, p. 440 (3.10)].

(23)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^n \operatorname{QE}\left(\binom{m}{2} - ng\right) \langle g; q \rangle_m \langle h, \widetilde{h}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, 2g; q \rangle_m \langle 1, 2h, -m - g + 1 - n; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle h + g + N, \frac{g+h}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{g+h}{2}}, \frac{g+h+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{g+h+1}{2}}; q \rangle_N \operatorname{QE}\left(\binom{2N}{2}\right)}{\langle g + h, \widetilde{g}, g + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g} + \frac{1}{2}, h + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g} + N, \widetilde{h} + \frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{1}, 1; q \rangle_N}.$$

Proof. We prove the equivalent formula

(24)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^n \operatorname{QE} \left(-\binom{n}{2} - mn + mg\right) \langle g; q \rangle_m \langle h, \widetilde{h}; q \rangle_n}{\langle 1, 2g; q \rangle_m \langle 1, 2h, -m - \widetilde{g+1} - n; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle h + \widetilde{g+N}, \frac{g+h}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{g+h}{2}}, \frac{g+h+1}{2}, \widetilde{\frac{g+h+1}{2}}; q \rangle_N q^{2gN}}{\langle g+h, \widetilde{g}, g+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g+\frac{1}{2}}, h+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g+N}, \widetilde{h+\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{1}, 1; q \rangle_N}.$$

$$(25) LHS$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{C_N \langle g; q \rangle_{N-n} \langle h, \widetilde{h}; q \rangle_n x^N (-1)^n \text{QE} \left(-\binom{n}{2} - (N-n)n + (N-n)g \right)}{\langle 1, 2g; q \rangle_{N-n} \langle 1, 2h, -g+1 - N; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{C_N \langle -g+1 - N; q \rangle_N \langle h, \widetilde{h}, -2g+1 - N; q \rangle_n x^N (-1)^n \text{QE} \left(\binom{n}{2} + n(1-N) \right)}{\langle 1; q \rangle_{N-n} \langle -2g+1 - N; q \rangle_N \langle -g+1 - N, 1, 2h, -g+1 - N; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0} \frac{C_N \langle -g+1 - N; q \rangle_N x^N}{\langle 1, -2g+1 - N; q \rangle_N}$$

$$\times \sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{\binom{n}{n}_q \langle h, \widetilde{h}, -2g+1 - N; q \rangle_n (-1)^n \text{QE} \left(\binom{n}{2} + n(1-N) \right)}{\langle -g+1 - N, 2h, -g+1 - N; q \rangle_n}$$

$$\stackrel{\text{by}(15)}{=} \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} \langle -g+1 - 2N; q \rangle_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2}, h+g+N; q^2 \rangle_N}{\langle 1, -2g+1 - 2N; q \rangle_{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2} + h, g+N; q^2 \rangle_N}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} \langle g; q \rangle_{2N} x^{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2}, h+g+N; q^2 \rangle_N}{\langle 1, 2g; q \rangle_{2N} \langle \frac{1}{2} + h, g+N; q^2 \rangle_N}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_{2N} x^{2N} \langle h+g+N; \frac{g+h}{2}, \frac{g+h+1}{2}, \frac{g+h+1}{2}; q \rangle_N q^{2gN}}{\langle g+h, \widetilde{g}, g+\frac{1}{2}, g+\frac{1}{2}, h+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g+N}, \widetilde{h+\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{1}, 1; q \rangle_N}.$$

Finally, multiply C_n by QE $\binom{n}{2} - ng$.

3. Reduction formulas

We now specialize the very general formulas to reduction formulas for Appell- and Kampé de Fériet functions. We will need the \triangle notation, since otherwise there will not be enough space to write out the formulas.

THEOREM 3.1. A q-analogue of a reduction formula for the second Appell function.

(26)
$$\sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \lambda; q \rangle_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^n \langle g; q \rangle_m \langle g, 1 - \underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n \operatorname{QE} \left(\frac{-mn-n}{2} \right)}{\langle 1, h; q \rangle_m \langle 1, h, -\underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n}$$

$$= 7 \phi_7 \left[\stackrel{\triangle(q; 2; \lambda), g, h - g}{\triangle(q; 2; h), h, \widetilde{1}, \infty} |q; -x^2 q^g| |\stackrel{\widehat{1-k}; q \rangle_k}{-} \right].$$

Proof. Put $C_k = \langle \lambda; q \rangle_k$ in (16).

Remark 1. The righthand sides of formulas (26) and (28) converge quicker than the LHS because of the q-power with negative exponent, the double sum and the minus sign on the left. The other formulas in this section have similar properties.

THEOREM 3.2. A q-analogue of a reduction formula for the third Appell function. By using vectors, this formula can easily be extended to a q-analogue of [16, p. 31 (48)].

Proof. Put $C_k = \frac{1}{\langle \mu; q \rangle_k}$ in (18).

Corollary 3.3. A q-analogue of [1, p. 439 (3.4)] and [16, p. 31 (46)]

(28)
$$\Phi_{p:1;2}^{p:2;3} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda} : g, \infty; g, \infty \\ \vec{\mu} : h; h \end{bmatrix} |q; x, -xq^{-\frac{1}{2}}|| \underbrace{\langle 1 - \underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n q^{-\frac{mn}{2}}}_{\langle -\underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}}; q \rangle_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= {}_{6+4p} \phi_{6+4p} \begin{bmatrix} \triangle(q; 2; \vec{\lambda}), g, h - g, 3\infty \\ \triangle(q; 2; \vec{\mu}, h), h, \widetilde{1} \end{bmatrix} |q; -x^2 q^g|| \underbrace{\langle 1 - k; q \rangle_k}_{-} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Put $C_n = \frac{\langle \vec{\lambda}; q \rangle_n}{\langle \vec{\mu}; q \rangle_n}$ in (16).

Corollary 3.4. A q-analogue of [1, p. 439 (3.5)]

(29)
$$\Phi_{p:1;2}^{p:1;2} \begin{bmatrix} \vec{\lambda} : g; h, \widetilde{h} \\ \vec{\mu} : 2g; 2h \end{bmatrix} |q; -x, x| |\underbrace{q^{mn}}_{\langle \widetilde{m+g}; q \rangle_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= {}_{5+4p} \phi_{8+4p} \begin{bmatrix} \triangle(q; 2; \vec{\mu}), g+h, g+\frac{1}{2}, h+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g+\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{h+\frac{1}{2}}, \widetilde{1}, \widetilde{g} \\ \triangle(q; 2; \vec{\mu}), g+h, g+\frac{1}{2}, h+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{g+\frac{1}{2}}, h+\frac{1}{2}, \widetilde{1}, \widetilde{g} \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$|q; x^2 q| |\underbrace{\langle h+g+k; q \rangle_k}_{\langle k+g; q \rangle_k}].$$

Proof. Put $C_n = \frac{\langle \vec{\lambda}; q \rangle_n}{\langle \vec{\mu}; q \rangle_n}$ in (23).

THEOREM 3.5. A q-analogue of [1, p. 439 (3.8)] and [16, p. 32 (50)]

(30)

$$\sum_{m,n} \frac{\langle \vec{\lambda}; q \rangle_{m+n} x^{m+n} (-1)^m \langle 1 - \underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n}}{\langle \vec{\mu}; q \rangle_{m+n} \langle 1, \nu, \sigma, -\underbrace{\frac{m+n}{2}; q \rangle_n \langle 1, \nu, \sigma; q \rangle_m}} \operatorname{QE} \left(-\frac{n}{2} - \underbrace{\frac{3mn}{2} + \frac{(m+n)^2}{4}} \right) \\
= {}_{16+4p} \phi_{15+4p} \left[\underbrace{\triangle(q; 2; \vec{\lambda}), \triangle(q; 3; \nu + \sigma - 1), 9\infty}_{\triangle(q; 2; \vec{\mu}, \nu, \sigma, \nu + \sigma - 1), \nu, \sigma, \widetilde{1}} |q; -x^2| |\underbrace{\langle 1 - k; q \rangle_k}_{-} \right].$$

Proof. Put $C_n = \frac{\langle \vec{\lambda}; q \rangle_n}{\langle \vec{\mu}; q \rangle_n}$ in (20). The $\triangle(q; 3; \nu + \sigma - 1)$ corresponds to six q-shifted factorials, this explains the 9∞ .

This last formula is the crown of our efforts in this section, and beautifully unites the notation used so far. The formula [16, p. 32 (50)] is also the last one in the corresponding chapter. We will come back to more q-analogues from [16] in later papers.

4. Discussion

We would like to remind that the umbral notation is equivalent to Gasper and Rahman [10]; however, the $\triangle(q;l;\lambda)$ operator cannot be readily expressed in their notation. The same goes for the factor $\langle 1-k;q\rangle_k$, which elucidates the integration property in q-calculus. There are more comments at the end of the article [3].

References

^[1] R. G. Buschman, H. M. Srivastava, Series identities and reducibility of Kampé de Fériet functions, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 91 (1982), 435–440.

^[2] T. Ernst, A method for q-calculus, J. Nonlinear Math. Physics 10 (2003), 487–525.

- [3] T. Ernst, Some results for q-functions of many variables, Rend. di Padova Sem. Mat. Univ. 112 (2004), 199–235.
- [4] T. Ernst, q-Generating functions for one and two variables, Simon Stevin 12 (2005), 589-605.
- [5] T. Ernst, A renaissance for a q-umbral calculus, Proceedings of the International Conference Munich, Germany 25–30 July 2005. (World Scientific, 2007).
- [6] T. Ernst, Some new formulas involving Γ_q functions, Rend. di Padova Sem. Mat. Univ. 118 (2007), 159–188.
- [7] T. Ernst, The different tongues of q-calculus, Proceedings of Estonian Academy of Sciences 57 no. 2 (2008), 81–99.
- [8] T. Ernst, q-calculus as operational algebra, Proceedings of Estonian Academy of Sciences 58 no. 2 (2009), 73–97.
- [9] T. Ernst, Handbook for q-analysis, submitted.
- [10] G. Gasper, M. Rahman. Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge, 1990.
- [11] T. M. MacRobert, The multiplication formula for the gamma function and E-function series, Math. Ann. 139 (1959), 133–139.
- [12] E. D. Rainville, Special Functions, Reprint of 1960 first edition, Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971.
- [13] O. Shanker, S. Saran, Reducibility of Kampé de Fériet function, Ganita 21 (1970), 9–16.
- [14] H. M. Srivastava, On the reducibility of Appell's function F₄, Canad. Math. Bull. 16 (1973), 295–298.
- [15] H. M. Srivastava, A note on certain identities involving generalized hypergeometric series, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Indag. Math. 41, (1979), 191–201.
- [16] H. M. Srivastava, P. W. Karlsson, Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series, Ellis Horwood, New York, 1985.
- [17] H. M. Srivastava, V. K. Jain, q-series identities and reducibility of basic double hypergeometric functions, Canad. J. Math. 38 (1986), 215–231.
- [18] H. M. Srivastava, P. W. Karlsson, Transformations of multiple q-series with quasiarbitrary terms, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 231, (1999), 241–254.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UPPSALA UNIVERSITY P.O. BOX 480

SE-751 06 UPPSALA, SWEDEN E-mail: Thomas.Ernst@math.uu.se

Received Jujy 17, 2010.