



## Stirling Number Representation Problems

H. W. Gould

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 11, No. 3 (Jun., 1960), 447-451.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28196006%2911%3A3%3C447%3ASNRP%3E2.0.CO%3B2-L>

*Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to creating and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## STIRLING NUMBER REPRESENTATION PROBLEMS

H. W. GOULD

**1. Introduction.** The Stirling numbers of the first kind are defined as the coefficients  $S_1(n, k)$  in the expansion

$$(1.1) \quad \prod_{k=0}^n (1 + kx) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_1(n, k)x^k,$$

so that [6]  $S_1(n, k)$  = the sum of the  $C_{n,k}$  possible products, each with  $k$  different factors, which may be formed from the first  $n$  natural numbers.

The Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined as the coefficients  $S_2(n, k)$  in the expansion

$$(1.2) \quad \prod_{k=0}^n (1 - kx)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} S_2(n, k)x^k,$$

so that  $S_2(n, k)$  = the sum of the  $C_{(n+k-1),k}$  possible products, each with  $k$  factors (repetition allowed), which may be formed from the first  $n$  natural numbers.

Schlömilch [9] found the formula

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & (-1)^k S_1(n-1, k) \\ &= (n-k) \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{S_2(j, k)}{(n+j) \binom{k+j}{j}}, \end{aligned}$$

which is one of the simplest known explicit representations of the Stirling numbers of the first kind in terms of the Stirling numbers of the second kind. By means of a simple binomial coefficient identity this formula is seen to be equivalent to the neater formula

$$(1.4) \quad S_1(n-1, k) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k+n}{k-j} \binom{k-n}{k+j} S_2(j, k),$$

found by L. Schläfli [8].

These two formulas do not seem to be very well known, perhaps because it is easier to calculate  $S_1$  by means of recurrence formulas.

Of course, it is well known [4; 5] that  $S_2$  is given by the very simple formula

---

Received by the editors June 25, 1959 and, in revised form, August 14, 1959.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad S_2(n, k) &= \frac{1}{n!} \Delta_{x,1}^n x^{n+k} \Big|_{x=0} \\
 &= \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n}{j} j^{n+k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the numbers  $S_2$  occur in the familiar Newton-Gregory expansion [5; 12] of  $x^n$ :

$$(1.6) \quad x^n = \sum_{k=0}^n k! S_2(k, n-k) \binom{x}{k}.$$

In this paper we offer simple proofs of the following formulas:

$$(1.7) \quad (-1)^k S_1(n-1, k) = \binom{n-1}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k+1}{j+1} \frac{S_2(jn, k)}{\binom{k+jn}{k}},$$

$$(1.8) \quad (-1)^k S_2(n, k) = \binom{k+n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k+1}{j+1} \frac{S_1(jn-1, k)}{\binom{jn-1}{k}},$$

$$(1.9) \quad S_2(n-k, k) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} S_1(k+j-1, k),$$

$$(1.10) \quad S_1(n-1, k) = \sum_{t=0}^k K(t) S_1(k+t-1, k),$$

$$(1.11) \quad S_2(n-k, k) = \sum_{t=0}^k K(t) S_2(t, k),$$

where in (1.10) and (1.11)

$$(1.12) \quad K(t) = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} \binom{2k+j}{k-t} \binom{-j}{k+t}.$$

In particular we remark that (1.9) is a companion to (1.4) thereby providing a simple way to express the Stirling numbers of the second kind explicitly in terms of the Stirling numbers of the first kind.

We also make application of the Eulerian numbers [1; 12]

$$(1.13) \quad A_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{n+1}{j} (k-j)^n,$$

in order to show that

$$(1.14) \quad S_2(n - k, k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{n!} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i S_1(k - 1, k - i) \cdot \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n,j} (j - 1)^i.$$

2. Proof of (1.7) and (1.8). Because of the relations

$$(2.1) \quad \binom{n - 1}{k} B_k^{(n)} = (-1)^k S_1(n - 1, k), \quad n \text{ positive integer,}$$

and

$$(2.2) \quad \binom{n + k}{k} B_k^{(-n)} = S_2(n, k), \quad n \text{ positive integer,}$$

where  $B_k^{(x)} = B_k^{(x)}(0)$  is a generalized Bernoulli number and [7]

$$\left( \frac{z}{e^z - 1} \right)^x \cdot e^{tz} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k^{(x)}(t) \frac{z^k}{k!},$$

and also in view of the relations [3]

$$(2.3) \quad S_1(-n - 1, k) = S_2(n, k),$$

$$(2.4) \quad S_2(-n - 1, k) = S_1(n, k),$$

it will be sufficient to establish for all real  $n$  that

$$(2.5) \quad B_k^{(n)} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k + 1}{j + 1} B_k^{(-jn)},$$

and then (1.7) and (1.8) are special cases.

We take the generalized chain rule of differentiation in the form (cf. [5, p. 216] and [6, p. 22] in general)

$$(2.6) \quad D_x^k \left( \frac{1}{z} \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k + 1}{j + 1} \frac{1}{z^{j+1}} D_x^k z^j,$$

and define

$$z = \left( \frac{e^x - 1}{x} \right)^n.$$

Then noting that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} z = 1$ , and that [4; 7]

$$(2.7) \quad B_k^{(n)} = D_x^k \left( \frac{1}{z^{\frac{1}{n}}} \right) \Big|_{x=0},$$

we find that (2.5) follows immediately from (2.6).

3. **Proof of (1.9).** We have [7, p. 147]

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{\log(x+1)}{x}\right)^n = n \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} \frac{B_k^{(n+k)}}{n+k}, \quad |x| < 1.$$

From this and [7, p. 145]

$$(3.2) \quad B_k^{(n+1)}(1) = \left(1 - \frac{k}{n}\right) B_k^{(n)},$$

it follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \left(\frac{x}{\log(x+1)}\right)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!} B_k^{(k-n+1)}(1).$$

Now the generalized chain rule may also be written in the convenient form (cf. [5, p. 216] and [6, p. 22])

$$(3.4) \quad z^n D_x^k z^{-n} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{-n}{j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} z^{-j} D_x^k z^j,$$

and by an easy binomial coefficient identity this may also be written as

$$(3.5) \quad (-1)^k \binom{n-1}{k} z^n D_x^k z^{-n} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} \binom{k+j}{j} z^{-j} D_x^k z^j.$$

We define

$$z = \frac{\log(x+1)}{x}$$

and note that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} z = 1$ . Then it follows from (3.5) and the expansions (3.1) and (3.3) together with (3.2) that

$$(3.6) \quad (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} B_k^{(k-n)} = \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k-n}{k+j} \binom{k+n}{k-j} \binom{k+j-1}{k} B_k^{(j+k)},$$

and consequently when we apply (2.2) to the left-hand member and (2.1) to the right-hand member, this expression becomes identically (1.9) which is therefore proved.

4. **Proof of (1.10) and (1.11).** It is a routine calculation to substitute for  $S_2(j, k)$  in (1.4) by means of (1.9) and obtain (1.10). Likewise we substitute for  $S_1(k+j-1, k)$  in (1.9) by means of (1.4) and the result is exactly (1.11). The summation  $K(\ell)$  occurs in each case.

5. **Proof of (1.14).** Worpitzky [12, formula (14)] has shown that

$$(5.1) \quad k!S_2(k, n-k) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{j-1}{n-k} A_{n,j},$$

where  $A_{n,j}$  are the Eulerian numbers defined by (1.13).

Now it is a consequence of (1.1) that the familiar expansion

$$(5.2) \quad \binom{x}{n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k}}{n!} S_1(n-1, n-k)x^k$$

is obtained.

From (5.1) we obtain, first putting  $n-k$  for  $k$  and then using (5.2),

$$\begin{aligned} (n-k)!S_2(n-k, k) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{j-1}{k} A_{n,j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-1)^{k-i}}{k!} S_1(k-1, k-i)(j-1)^i A_{n,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Simplification of this yields relation (1.14) as proposed.

It would be interesting to obtain a relation inverse to (1.14), that is a formula expressing  $S_1$  in terms of  $S_2$  using  $A_{n,j}$ .

It is not hard to show that a relation inverse to (5.1) is

$$(5.3) \quad (-1)^k A_{n,k} = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \binom{n-j}{n-k} j!S_2(j, n-j).$$

#### REFERENCES

1. L. Carlitz, *Eulerian numbers and polynomials*, Math. Mag. vol. 32 (1959) pp. 247-260.
2. H. W. Gould, *A theorem concerning the Bernstein polynomials*, Math. Mag. vol. 31 (1958) pp. 259-264.
3. J. G. Hagen, *Synopsis der höheren Mathematik*, Berlin, 1891.
4. C. Jordan, *On Stirling's numbers*, Tôhoku Math. J. vol. 37 (1933) pp. 254-278.
5. ———, *Calculus of finite differences*, New York, 1957.
6. N. Nielsen, *Handbuch der Theorie der Gammafunktion*, Leipzig, 1906.
7. N. E. Nörlund, *Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung*, Berlin, 1924.
8. L. Schläfli, *Ergänzung der Abhandlung über die Entwicklung des Produkts . . .*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 67 (1867) pp. 179-182.
9. O. Schlömilch, *Recherches sur les coefficients des facultés analytiques*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 44 (1852) pp. 344-355.
10. ———, *Compendium der höheren Analysis*, Braunschweig, 1866.
11. I. J. Schwatt, *Introduction to the operations with series*, University of Pennsylvania, 1924.
12. J. Worpitzky, *Studien über die Bernoullischen und Eulerschen Zahlen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. vol. 94 (1883) pp. 203-232.