

An Ω -result for the Difference of The Coefficients of Two L -functions

by

Jerzy KACZOROWSKI and Alberto PERELLI

(Received March 7, 2011)

Dedicated to Professor Akio Fujii on the occasion of his retirement

We denote as usual by \mathcal{S}^\sharp the extended Selberg class and recall that $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ if $(s - 1)^m F(s)$ is entire of finite order for some non-negative integer m , $F(s)$ is representable for $\sigma > 1$ as an absolutely convergent Dirichlet series with coefficients $a_F(n)$ and satisfies a functional equation of type

$$\gamma(s)F(s) = \omega\bar{\gamma}(1-s)\bar{F}(1-s) \tag{1}$$

with $|\omega| = 1$ and

$$\gamma(s) = Q^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j),$$

where $Q > 0$, $\Re\mu_j \geq 0$ and $\lambda_j > 0$. Here $\bar{f}(s) = \overline{f(\bar{s})}$. We also write $d_F = 2 \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_j$ for the degree of $F(s)$, $\sigma_a(F)$ for the abscissa of absolute convergence and

$$A_F(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} a_F(n) = \text{res}_{s=1} F(s) \frac{x^s}{s} + R_F(x),$$

say. Moreover, the Selberg class \mathcal{S} is the subclass of \mathcal{S}^\sharp of the L -functions satisfying in addition the Ramanujan conjecture $a_F(n) \ll n^\varepsilon$ and having a general Euler product of type

$$\log F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_F(n)}{n^s}$$

with $b_F(n) = 0$ unless $n = p^m$ with $m \geq 1$ and $b_F(n) \ll n^\vartheta$ for some $\vartheta < 1/2$. We refer to Selberg [9], Conrey-Ghosh [1] and our survey papers [4], [3], [6], [7] and [8] for the basic properties of the classes \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{S}^\sharp .

The following Ω -theorem for $R_F(x)$, for any $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$, is due essentially to K. Chandrasekharan and R. Narasimhan

$$R_F(x) = \Omega(x^{1/2-1/2d_F});$$

see our paper [5] for a simple proof based on the properties of the standard twist of $F(s)$ (see below). According to the current expectation, this essentially settles the problem of Ω -results for L -functions. In this paper we consider Ω -results for the difference of the

coefficients of two functions in \mathcal{S}^\sharp . Given $F, G \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ we define

$$\delta(F, G) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_F(n) - a_G(n)| e^{-nx})}{\log(1/x)}.$$

Based on the ideas in Kaczorowski [2], dealing with the Fourier coefficients of modular forms, we prove the following general result.

THEOREM. *For distinct $F, G \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with $d_F, d_G > 0$ we have*

$$\delta(F, G) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \min\left(\frac{1}{d_F}, \frac{1}{d_G}\right).$$

The Theorem has the following geometric interpretation. Let \mathcal{A} denote the set of the arithmetic functions $f(n)$ with polynomial growth, and for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ let

$$\delta(f, g) = \limsup_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\log(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |f(n) - g(n)| e^{-nx})}{\log(1/x)}.$$

Then δ is a pseudo ultrametric in \mathcal{A} , since for $f, g, h \in \mathcal{A}$ one can easily check that $\delta(f, f) = 0$, $\delta(f, g) = \delta(g, f)$ and $\delta(f, g) \leq \max(\delta(f, h), \delta(h, g))$. Hence the Theorem may be expressed by saying that the subset of \mathcal{A} formed by the coefficients of the functions in \mathcal{S}^\sharp with positive degree is a discrete subset of \mathcal{A} , in the topology induced by δ .

From the Theorem we deduce by a standard argument the following

COROLLARY 1. *For distinct $F, G \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with $d_F, d_G > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |a_F(n) - a_G(n)| = \Omega\left(\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \min(\frac{1}{d_F}, \frac{1}{d_G})}\right).$$

We believe that the ‘‘min’’ in the above results can be replaced by ‘‘max’’. Moreover, we believe that the following stronger Ω -result holds.

CONJECTURE 1. *For distinct $F, G \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ with $\max(d_F, d_G) > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |a_F(n) - a_G(n)| = \Omega(x^{1-\varepsilon}).$$

In the case of L -functions in \mathcal{S} we can say something more by elementary considerations, thanks to the following

LEMMA 1. *Let $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ be distinct multiplicative functions satisfying the Ramanujan conjecture and suppose that*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |f(n) - g(n)| \ll x^{\theta+\varepsilon}$$

for some $\theta \leq 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)| + \sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| \ll x^{\theta+\varepsilon}.$$

Clearly, the opposite implication holds as well, without assumptions on $f(n)$ and $g(n)$.

Recalling that the only Dirichlet polynomial in \mathcal{S} is the identically 1 function, an immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is

COROLLARY 2. *The Conjecture holds in \mathcal{S} if and only if $\sigma_a(F) = 1$ for every $F \in \mathcal{S}, F \neq 1$.*

Actually, it is expected that $\sigma_a(F) = 1$ for every $F \in \mathcal{S}, F \neq 1$.
Given $f \in \mathcal{A}$ we consider the associated Dirichlet series

$$L(s, f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n)}{n^s}$$

with (finite) abscissa of absolute convergence $\sigma_a(f)$. Then from Lemma 1 we immediately get

$$\max(0, \sigma_a(f - g)) = \max(0, \sigma_a(f), \sigma_a(g)) \quad (2)$$

if $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ are multiplicative and satisfy the Ramanujan conjecture. Now we recall that for every $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$

$$\sigma_a(F) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2d_F} \quad d_F > 0$$

since the standard twist

$$F_{1/d}(s, \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n)e(-\alpha n^{1/d})}{n^s} \quad d = d_F, e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$$

has, for suitably chosen α 's, a pole on the line $\sigma = 1/2 + 1/2d_F$. See [5], where the notation $F_d(s, \alpha)$ is used instead of $F_{1/d}(s, \alpha)$; see also below, at the beginning of the proof of the Theorem. Therefore from (2) and Lemma 2 below we have

COROLLARY 3. *For distinct $F, G \in \mathcal{S}$ with $d_F, d_G > 0$ we have*

$$\delta(F, G) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \max\left(\frac{1}{d_F}, \frac{1}{d_G}\right).$$

Note that the lower bound in Corollary 3 is sharper than the lower bound in the Theorem.

The above results are special cases of the following general problem. Let $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$ have positive degree and let $L(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be a linear form such that $L(F_1(s), \dots, F_m(s))$ does not vanish identically. Prove that there exists a $\theta = \theta(d_{F_1}, \dots, d_{F_m}) > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |L(a_{F_1}(n), \dots, a_{F_m}(n))| = \Omega(x^\theta).$$

The supremum of such θ 's may be called the measure of linear independence of $F_1(s), \dots, F_m(s)$. Our results solve the problem for $n = 2$.

Proof of the Theorem. The proof is based on the properties of the nonlinear twists

$$F_\lambda(s, \alpha) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n)}{n^s} e(-\alpha n^\lambda),$$

with $0 < \lambda \leq 1/d_F$ and $\alpha > 0$, of the functions $F \in \mathcal{S}^\sharp$. Namely, $F_\lambda(s, \alpha)$ is an entire function for every α if $0 < \lambda < 1/d_F$, while if $\lambda = 1/d_F$ it has a simple pole s_0 on the line $\sigma = 1/2 + 1/2d_F$ for the α 's such that $n_\alpha = q_F d_F^{-d_F} \alpha^{d_F}$ is an integer with $a_F(n_\alpha) \neq 0$ (if n_α is not an integer we let $a_F(n_\alpha) = 0$). Here $q_F = (2\pi)^{d_F} Q^2 \prod_{j=1}^r \lambda_j^{2\lambda_j}$ is the conductor

of $F(s)$, and the value of the residue at s_0 is $c(F)\overline{a_F(n_\alpha)}$ with $c(F) \neq 0$. For a sketch of proof of the first assertion we refer to the remark after the proof of Lemma 4.1 in [5]. We will treat the general case of nonlinear twists of a given $F \in \mathcal{S}^\#$ with leading exponent $\lambda \leq 1/d_F$ in a future paper. For the second assertion we refer to Theorem 1 of [5].

Suppose first that $0 < d_G < d_F$ (or, analogously, $0 < d_F < d_G$), which is the simpler case, and consider the twist

$$L(s, \alpha, F - G) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(n) - a_G(n)}{n^s} e(-\alpha n^{1/d_F}).$$

By the above results we have, choosing α appropriately, that $L(s, \alpha, F - G)$ has a simple pole on the line $\sigma = 1/2 + 1/2d_F$. Hence the abscissa of absolute convergence $\sigma_a(L)$ of $L(s, \alpha, F - G)$ satisfies

$$\sigma_a(L) \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2d_F} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \min\left(\frac{1}{d_F}, \frac{1}{d_G}\right).$$

Recalling the definition of \mathcal{A} given above, the Theorem in this case follows then from the following

LEMMA 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then*

$$\delta(f) = \max(0, \sigma_a(f)).$$

Proof. This is Lemma 3 in Kaczorowski [2]. \square

Now we turn to the more delicate case of $d_F = d_G$, and prove that also in this case the twist $L(s, \alpha, F - G)$ has a pole on the line $\sigma = 1/2 + 1/2d_F$ for a suitable $\alpha > 0$. The Theorem will then follow by the same argument as before.

Writing $d = d_F = d_G > 0$, we may consider without loss of generality only the point $s = 1/2 + 1/2d$. Suppose, by contradiction, that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = r(\alpha) &= \operatorname{res}_{s=1/2+1/2d} L(s, \alpha, F - G) \\ &= \operatorname{res}_{s=1/2+1/2d} F_{1/d}(s, \alpha) - \operatorname{res}_{s=1/2+1/2d} G_{1/d}(s, \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for every $\alpha > 0$ and choose $\alpha = \alpha_n = dq_F^{-1/d} n^{1/d}$ with any integer $n \geq 1$. Therefore

$$r(\alpha_n) = c(F)\overline{a_F(n)} - c(G)\overline{a_G(q_G n/q_F)}$$

and hence

$$a_F(n) = \gamma a_G(q_G n/q_F) \quad \gamma \neq 0. \quad (3)$$

This implies that $q_G/q_F \in \mathbb{Q}$, otherwise $a_F(n) = 0$ for every n , a contradiction. We write

$$q_G/q_F = a/q \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad a, q \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4)$$

Hence from (3) we get that

$$a_F(n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow q|n, \quad (5)$$

and reversing the roles of $F(s)$ and $G(s)$ we also get

$$a_G(n) \neq 0 \Rightarrow a|n. \quad (6)$$

From (3) we further deduce that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$a_F(qn) = \gamma a_G(an).$$

Thus, writing

$$H(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_F(qn)}{n^s} = \gamma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_G(an)}{n^s}, \quad (7)$$

from (5) and (6) we deduce that

$$H(s) = q^s F(s) = \gamma a^s G(s). \quad (8)$$

Since $F(s)$ satisfies a functional equation of type (1), thanks to (8) the function $H(s)$ satisfies

$$(Q/q)^s \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j s + \mu_j) H(s) = \omega (Q/q)^{1-s} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma(\lambda_j (1-s) + \bar{\mu}_j) \bar{H}(1-s)$$

and hence its conductor q_H equals q_F/q^2 . Similarly, we may use (8) and the functional equation of $G(s)$ to compute the conductor of $H(s)$, thus getting $q_H = q_G/a^2$. Recalling that the conductor is an invariant we deduce

$$q_G/q_F = (a/q)^2. \quad (9)$$

Comparing (4) and (9) we conclude that $a = q = 1$ and therefore by (8)

$$F(s) = \gamma G(s).$$

But then

$$L(s, \alpha, F - G) = (1 - \gamma) F_{1/d}(s, \alpha)$$

which has no poles only if $\gamma = 1$. Hence $F(s) = G(s)$ and the result follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 1. Let $q_0 = p_0^{k_0}$ be a prime power such that $f(q_0) \neq g(q_0)$. Then for the integers $m \geq 1$ such that $p_0 \nmid m$ we have

$$f(q_0 m) - g(q_0 m) = f(q_0)(f(m) - g(m)) + g(m)(f(q_0) - g(q_0)),$$

hence

$$|g(m)| \ll |f(m) - g(m)| + |f(q_0 m) - g(q_0 m)|$$

and consequently

$$\sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ p_0 \nmid m}} |g(m)| \ll \sum_{m \leq q_0 x} |f(m) - g(m)| \ll x^{\theta + \varepsilon}. \quad (10)$$

But

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{\log x}{\log p_0} \rfloor} |g(p_0^k)| \sum_{\substack{m \leq x/p_0^k \\ p_0 \nmid m}} |g(m)| \ll x^\varepsilon \sum_{\substack{m \leq x \\ p_0 \nmid m}} |g(m)|, \quad (11)$$

hence from (10) and (11) we obtain

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |g(n)| \leq x^{\theta + \varepsilon}.$$

Analogously we have that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |f(n)| \leq x^{\theta + \varepsilon},$$

and the lemma follows. □

Acknowledgment. This research was partially supported by the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica, by a grant PRIN2008 and by grant N N201 605940 of the National Science Centre.

References

- [1] J. B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, *On the Selberg class of Dirichlet series: small degrees*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 673–693.
- [2] J. Kaczorowski, *Some remarks on Fourier coefficients of Hecke modular functions*, Comment. Math., special volume *in honorem* J. Musielak (2004), 105–121.
- [3] J. Kaczorowski, *Axiomatic theory of L-functions: the Selberg class*, In *Analytic Number Theory*, C.I.M.E. Summer School, Cetraro (Italy) 2002, ed. by A. Perelli and C. Viola, 133–209, Springer L. N. 1891, 2006.
- [4] J. Kaczorowski, A. Perelli, *The Selberg class: a survey*, In *Number Theory in Progress*, Proc. Conf. in Honor of A.Schinzel, ed. by K. Györy *et al.*, 953–992, de Gruyter 1999.
- [5] J. Kaczorowski, A. Perelli, *On the structure of the Selberg class, VI: non, linear twists*, Acta Arith. **116** (2005), 315–341.
- [6] A. Perelli, *A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions, part I*, Milan J. Math. **73** (2005), 19–52.
- [7] A. Perelli, *A survey of the Selberg class of L-functions, part II*, Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma (7) **3*** (2004), 83–118.
- [8] A. Perelli, *Non-linear twists of L-functions: a survey*, Milan J. Math. **78** (2010), 117–134.
- [9] A. Selberg, *Old and new conjectures and results about a class of Dirichlet series*, In *Proc. Amalfi Conf. Analytic Number Theory*, ed. by E. Bombieri *et al.*, 367–385, Università di Salerno 1992; *Collected Papers*, vol. II, 47–63, Springer Verlag 1991.

Jerzy KACZOROWSKI
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science
A. Mickiewicz University
61–614 Poznań, Poland.
e-mail: kjerzy@amu.edu.pl

Alberto PERELLI
Dipartimento di Matematica
Università di Genova
via Dodecaneso 35
16146 Genova, Italy.
e-mail: perelli@dima.unige.it