

Inversion of Toeplitz Structured Matrices Using Only Standard Equations

George Labahn
Department of Computing Science
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada

and

Tamir Shalom
Tecnomatix Technologies
Delta House
16 Hagalim Avenue
Herzlia 46733, Israel

Submitted by Leiba Rodman

ABSTRACT

Formulas for the inverse of layered or striped Toeplitz matrices in terms of solutions of standard equations are given. These results are also generalized, in the generic case, to mosaic Toeplitz matrices and also to Toeplitz plus Hankel matrices.

1. INTRODUCTION

Gohberg and Semencul [5] have shown that for the generic case of a Toeplitz matrix $A = [a_{i-j}]_{i,j=1}^m$, it is enough to solve the two equations

$$AX = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad AY = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

in order to obtain A^{-1} . Note that there are $2m - 1$ parameters involved in the definition of Toeplitz matrices, and the requirement of solving two sets of linear equations is therefore minimal. Denote by $\{E^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^m$ the standard basis, and define an equation of the type $AX = E^{(i)}$ to be a *standard equation*. In Gohberg and Krupnik [4] it is shown that generically one can use the standard equations $AX = E^{(1)}$, $AZ = E^{(2)}$ in order to obtain A^{-1} . Ben-Artzi and Shalom [1] have generalized these results, showing that three standard equations, when properly chosen, will always be enough in order to construct the inverse of a Toeplitz matrix. Recently, the authors [12] proved that actually two standard equations are sufficient, as the solution of the third equation can be obtained using the entries $[a_{i-j}]$ as well.

In this paper, we consider wider class of matrices. Let $A = [A_i]_{i=1}^k$ be an m -by- m matrix in which $A_i = [a_{p-q}^{(i)}]_{p=1, q=1}^{m_i, m}$ (with $\sum_{i=1}^k m_i = m$), namely, each A_i is an m_i -by- m Toeplitz structured matrix. Such a matrix is referred to as a *layered Toeplitz matrix*, while its transpose is a *striped Toeplitz matrix*. Note that such a matrix involves $(k + 1)m - k$ parameters. Lerer and Tismenetsky [13] have shown that solving $k + 1$ systems of equations, not all being standard equations, is enough to reconstruct A^{-1} . We show that this can be done with $k + 1$ standard equations in the following way:

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be an m -by- m layered Toeplitz matrix with layers of size m_1, \dots, m_k . Suppose there are solutions $X^{(M_p)} = \text{col}(x_j^{(M_p)})_{j=1}^m$ to the k standard equations*

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k,$$

where, for each p , $M_p = 1 + \sum_{i < p} m_i$ marks the first row of the p th layer. For each p let h_p be the index of the largest nonzero component of $X^{(M_p)}$, and let j be any index such that $h_j = \max\{h_p\}_{p=1, \dots, k}$.

- (a) If $m_j < m - h_j + 1$ then A is not invertible.
- (b) If $m_j \geq m - h_j + 1$ and there is a solution to the standard equation

$$AX^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} = E^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)},$$

then A is invertible with inverse

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

where $Q = S_m - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_m$ (with S_m the m -by- m lower shift matrix) and $P = (X^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} - Q^{m-h_j+1} X^{(M_j)}) F^{(m)} / x_{h_j}^{(M_j)}$.

Layered and striped Toeplitz matrices are special cases of a larger family called mosaic Toeplitz matrices (see for example Heinig and Amdebrhan [8]). In Section 4, we show that in the generic case we can obtain the inverse through solutions of $k + l$ standard equations. Finally, in Section 5 we also consider the class of Toeplitz-plus-Hankel matrices (see [1, 9]) and show that in the generic case we need to solve four standard equations in order to determine the inverse matrix.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section we prove some preliminary results necessary for our inversion formulae. For convenience the following notation is useful. For the rest of this paper row $(b_j)_{j=1}^k$ will denote the matrix $[b_1, \dots, b_k]$, while $\text{col}(b_j)_{j=1}^k$ will denote the matrix $[b_1, \dots, b_k]^T$. Note that this notation is valid if the b_j are scalars, vectors, or even matrices of appropriate sizes. The following result from Gohberg and Shalom [6] will be used throughout this paper.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let A be an m -by- m matrix, and P, Q m -by- m matrices satisfying $PA = AQ$. Suppose $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(k)}$ are column vectors such that the matrix*

$$R = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[P^{i-1} A X^{(p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

is invertible (for a given set of integers $\{m_p\}$ with $m = \sum_{p=1}^k m_p$). Then A is invertible and has inverse given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[Q^{i-1} X^{(p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k \cdot R^{-1}.$$

REMARK. The case $k = 1$ of Lemma 2.1 first appeared in Ben-Artzi and Shalom [1].

Let $A = [A_{p,q}]_{p=1}^k \text{ }_{q=1}^l$ be an m -by- m matrix where $A_{p,q} = [a_{i-j}^{(p,q)}]_{i=1}^{m_p} \text{ }_{j=1}^{n_q}$ with $m = \sum_{p=1}^k m_p = \sum_{q=1}^l n_q$. Such a matrix is called a

mosaic Toeplitz matrix having k layers and l stripes. Define

$$S_{(M)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{m_1} & & & \\ & S_{m_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & S_{m_k} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S_{(N)} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{n_1} & & & \\ & S_{n_2} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & S_{n_l} \end{bmatrix},$$

where S_i is the i -by- i lower shift matrix $S_i = [\delta_{p,q+1}]_{p,q=1}^i$. In addition define integers M_p , $p = 1, 2, \dots, k$, and N_q , $q = 1, 2, \dots, l$, by $M_p = 1 + \sum_{i < p} m_i$ and $N_q = \sum_{i \leq q} n_i$. For a given integer p , M_p marks the first row of the p th layer of A , while for given q , N_q marks the last column of the q th stripe.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let A be a mosaic Toeplitz matrix. Then*

$$S_{(M)}A - AS_{(N)} = \sum_{q=1}^l S_{(M)}AE^{(N_q)}F^{(N_q)} - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_{(N)}, \quad (1)$$

where $E^{(i)}$ is the i th standard column vector and $F^{(i)} = (E^{(i)})^T$ is the i th standard row vector.

Proof. From the definitions of $S_{(M)}$ and $S_{(N)}$ along with the mosaic structure of A we see that

$$S_{(M)}A - AS_{(N)} = \left[S_{m_p}A_{p,q} - A_{p,q}S_{n_q} \right]_{p=1}^k \left[\right]_{q=1}^l.$$

Since each $A_{p,q}$ is a Toeplitz matrix, we have

$$S_{m_p}A_{p,q} - A_{p,q}S_{n_q} = \begin{bmatrix} -a_{n-1}^{(p,q)} & \cdots & -a_{-(n_q-1)}^{(p,q)} & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{-(n_q-1)}^{(p,q)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m_p-1-n_q}^{(p,q)} \end{bmatrix};$$

hence $S_{(M)}A - AS_{(N)}$ is given by

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & N_1 & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 M_1 \rightarrow & & N_l \\
 & & \downarrow
 \end{array} \\
 \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 -a_{-1}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & -a_{-(n_1-1)}^{(1,1)} & 0 & \cdots & -a_{-1}^{(1,l)} & \cdots & -a_{-(n_l-1)}^{(1,l)} & 0 \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{-(n_1-1)}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{-(n_l-1)}^{(1,l)} \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m_1-1-n_1}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m_1-1-n_l}^{(1,l)} \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
 M_k \rightarrow & -a_{-1}^{(k,1)} & \cdots & -a_{-(n_1-1)}^{(k,1)} & 0 & \cdots & -a_{-1}^{(k,l)} & \cdots & -a_{-(n_l-1)}^{(k,l)} & 0 \\
 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{-(n_1-1)}^{(k,1)} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{-(n_l-1)}^{(k,l)} \\
 & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\
 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m_k-1-n_1}^{(k,1)} & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & 0 & a_{m_k-1-n_l}^{(k,l)}
 \end{array} \right]
 \end{array}$$

The lemma is clear from the above decomposition. ■

We remark that Lemma 2.2 is a natural generalization of the well-known rank-two-decomposition of $ST-TS$ for a shift matrix S and a Toeplitz matrix T .

LEMMA 2.3 (See also Heinig and Rost [9]). *Let $A = [A_{p,q}]_{p=1}^k {}_q=1^l$ with $A_{p,q} = [a_{i-j}^{(p,q)}]_{i=1}^{m_p} {}_j=1^{n_q}$ be a mosaic Toeplitz matrix. With the notation as above, the matrix A is invertible if and only if the following equations are soluble:*

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k, \tag{2}$$

$$AZ^{(N_q)} = S_{(M)}AE^{(N_q)}, \quad q = 1, \dots, l. \tag{3}$$

In this case

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[Q^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

where

$$Q = S_{(N)} + \sum_{q=1}^l Z^{(N_q)} F^{(N_q)} - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} AS_{(N)}.$$

Proof. We will apply Lemma 2.1 to A with $P = S_{(M)}$, Q given above, and the columns $X^{(M_p)}$, $p = 1, \dots, k$, determined by Equation (3). Note that the structure of $S_{(M)}$ implies that

$$P^{i-1}AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p+i-1)} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m_p, \quad p = 1, \dots, k.$$

Therefore

$$R = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[P^{i-1}AX^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

is precisely the identity matrix. Consequently the formula for A^{-1} holds. ■

3. LAYERED AND STRIPED TOEPLITZ MATRICES

In this section we prove the main theorem stated in the introduction, along with some other related results. Therefore we now consider the special case where $l = 1$, that is, of a mosaic Toeplitz matrix having a single stripe. Such matrices are referred to as *layered Toeplitz matrices*. In this case, Lemma 2.3 implies that determining the invertibility of A (and also its inverse) is accomplished by solving the $k + 1$ linear equations

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k, \quad (4)$$

$$AZ = S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}. \quad (5)$$

The inverse in the case that such solutions have been found in then given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[Q^{i-1}X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

where $Q = S_m + ZF^{(m)} - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_m$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If by chance $S_{(M)}AE^{(m)} = 0$, then the invertibility of A can be determined with only k standard equations. In the Toeplitz case (i.e. when $k = 1$) this can happen if and only if A is lower triangular (cf. [12]). When $k > 1$, there are other cases where only k standard equations determine the inverse of A (cf. Example 3.1).

For each p , let h_p denote the index of the highest nonzero component of $X^{(M_p)}$, and suppose $h = \max\{h_p\}$. For each index i let $R_i = \min\{m_i - 1, m$

$-h$ }. Then we show that we can always construct column vectors $X^{(M_i)}, \dots, X^{(M_i+R_i)}$ satisfying

- (a) $AX^{(M_i+r)} = E^{(M_i+r)}, 0 \leq r \leq R_i,$
- (b) $QX^{(M_i+r-1)} = X^{(M_i+r)}, 1 \leq r \leq R_i,$

where $Q = S_m - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_m$. Note that, since the last $m-h$ components of each of the $X^{(M_p)}$ are zero, the structure of Q then implies that

$$x_u^{(M_i+r-1)} = x_{u-1}^{(M_i+r-2)} = \dots = x_{u-r+1}^{(M_i)} \quad \text{for } h+r \leq u \leq m.$$

Clearly the above holds for $r=0$; hence assume that we have an r with $1 \leq r \leq R_i$ such that $X^{(M_i)}, \dots, X^{(M_i+r-1)}$ satisfying (a) and (b) above have already been constructed. From

$$S_{(M)}A = AS_m + S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}F^{(m)} - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_m, \quad (6)$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(M)}AX^{(M_i+r-1)} &= AS_mX^{(M_i+r-1)} + S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}S_m^{(M_i+r-1)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_i+r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m-r+1 \geq h+1 > h$, it follows that $x_{m-r+1}^{(M_i)} = 0$. The construction is true for all $j \leq r-1$; hence the structure of Q implies that

$$x_m^{(M_i+r-1)} = x_{m-1}^{(M_i+r-2)} = \dots = x_{m-r+1}^{(M_i)},$$

so $x_m^{(M_i+r-1)} = 0$. Since $r \leq R_i \leq m_i - 1$, we also have that $E^{(M_i+r)} = S_{(M)}E^{(M_i+r-1)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(M_i+r)} &= AS_mX^{(M_i+r-1)} - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_i+r-1)} \\ &= A \cdot \left(S_mX^{(M_i+r-1)} - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_i+r-1)} \right) \\ &= AQX^{(M_i+r-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $X^{(M_i+r)} = QX^{(M_i+r-1)}$ then satisfies conditions (a) and (b).

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.1. Let j be an index such that $h_j = h$, and assume that $m_j - 1 < m - h$. Then $S_{(M)}E^{(M_j+m_j-1)} = 0$ and

$$x_m^{(M_j+m_j-1)} = x_{m-1}^{(M_j+m_j-2)} = \dots = x_{m-m_j+1}^{(M_j)} = 0,$$

since $h < m - m_j + 1$. A similar argument to that given previously then implies that $AX = 0$, where $X = QX^{(M_j+m_j-1)}$. Since

$$x_{h+m_j} = x_{h+m_j-1}^{(M_j+m_j-1)} = \dots = x_h^{(M_j)} \neq 0$$

and $h + m_j < m + 1$, X is nonzero and hence A must be singular.

Now let j be an index such that $h_j = h$ with $m_j - 1 \geq m - h$. Suppose there exists a solution $X^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)}$ to the standard equation

$$AX^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} = E^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)}.$$

(Note that there may be more than one possibility for the choice of j .) Then $x_{h_j}^{(M_j)} = x_m^{(M_j+m-h_j)}$, and using Equation (6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(M)}AX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} &= AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} + S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}x_m^{(M_j+m-h_j)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} &= AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} + S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}x_{h_j}^{(M_j)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} AX^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} &= AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)} + S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}x_{h_j}^{(M_j)} \\ &\quad - A\sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_mX^{(M_j+m-h_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $AZ = S_{(M)}AE^{(m)}$ for

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{1}{x_{h_j}^{(M_j)}} \left(X^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} - S_m X^{(M_j+m-h_j)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_m X^{(M_j+m-h_j)} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{x_{h_j}^{(M_j)}} \left(X^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} - Q^{m-h_j+1} X^{(M_j)} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and so, by Lemma 2.3, A is invertible with inverse given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

with $P = ZF^{(m)}$. ■

REMARK 1. When $k = 1$, Theorem 1.1 first appeared in [12].

REMARK 2. It is natural to ask if it is possible that one can always use less than $k + 1$ standard equations to determine both invertibility and the inverse of a layered Toeplitz matrix. This is not the case, as has been shown in [12] in the $k = 1$ case.

REMARK 3. A mosaic Toeplitz matrix with $k = 1$, that is, with only one layer, is called a *striped Toeplitz matrix*. Since the transpose of a striped Toeplitz matrix is a layered Toeplitz, the results of this section (using row standard rather than column standard equations) are also valid for the striped Toeplitz case. Our methods, however, do not construct the inverse of a striped Toeplitz matrix in terms of column standard equations as was the case for layered Toeplitz matrices. Indeed, it is an open question how such a representation can be constructed.

EXAMPLE 3.1. Suppose A is the 5-by-5 layered Toeplitz matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & a \\ a & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & b & c \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & b \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $m_1 = 2$ and $m_2 = 3$ (and hence $M_1 = 1$ and $M_2 = 3$). There are solutions to $AX^{(1)} = E^{(1)}$ and $AX^{(3)} = E^{(3)}$ given by

$$X^{(1)} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{bmatrix} b + 1 \\ -ab^2 + b^2 + ac - a - b - c + 1 \\ ab^2 - b^2 - ab - ac + b + c - 1 \\ -ab - ac + a + b + c - 2 \\ ab + a - b - 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and}$$

$$X^{(3)} = \frac{1}{d} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -a^2 + a - 1 \\ a^2 - 2a + 1 \\ a^2 - ab - a + b \\ a - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $d = a^2b - ab^2 + a^2 + b^2 - ab + ac - 2a - c + 2$.

If $a = b = c = 0$, then $S_{(M)}AE^{(3)} = 0$. Therefore A is invertible with inverse given by

$$[X^{(1)}, QX^{(1)}, X^{(3)}, QX^{(3)}, Q^2X^{(3)}]$$

with

$$Q = S_5 - (X^{(1)}F^{(1)} + X^{(3)}F^{(3)})AS_5 = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $a = 1$ and b and c are arbitrary, then $h_1 = 4$ and $h_2 = 2$. Since $m_1 - 1 \leq m - h_1$ and there is already a standard solution for column

$M_1 + m - h_1 + 1 = 3$, A is invertible. In this case the construction used in the proof of Theorem 1.1 allows one to avoid the extra matrix P . The inverse in this case is given by

$$\left[X^{(1)}, QX^{(1)}, X^{(3)}, QX^{(3)}, Q^2X^{(3)} \right]$$

where

$$Q = S_5 - (X^{(1)}F^{(1)} + X^{(3)}F^{(3)})AS_5$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -1 - b & -1 & -b & -1 - b - c & 0 \\ 1 + b & 1 & b & b + c & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $a = 2$, $b = -1$, and $c \neq 1$, then $h_1 = 4$ and $h_2 = 5$. Since $m_2 > m - h_2 + 1$, we need to solve the extra standard equation

$$AX^{(4)} = E^{(4)}$$

in order to determine invertibility. There is such a solution in this case so A is invertible with inverse given by

$$\left[X^{(1)}, (Q + P)X^{(1)}, X^{(3)}, (Q + P)X^{(3)}, (Q + P)^2X^{(3)} \right]$$

where now $Q = S_5 - (X^{(1)}F^{(1)} + X^{(3)}F^{(3)})AS_5$ and $P = (X^{(4)} - QX^{(3)})F^{(5)}/x_5^{(3)}$. This gives

$$P + Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{c-1} & \frac{1}{c-1} & -\frac{c}{c-1} & \frac{4c-5}{c-1} \\ 0 & \frac{3}{c-1} & -\frac{3}{c-1} & \frac{2+c}{c-1} & -\frac{6c-9}{c-1} \\ 1 & \frac{c-2}{c-1} & \frac{1}{c-1} & \frac{c-2}{c-1} & -\frac{1}{c-1} \\ 1 & -\frac{3}{c-1} & \frac{2+c}{c-1} & -\frac{2+c}{c-1} & \frac{2c^2+c-6}{c-1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{c-1} & \frac{1}{c-1} & -\frac{1}{c-1} & \frac{c-2}{c-1} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that $(P + Q)X^{(1)} = QX^{(1)}$, since $x_5^{(1)} = 0$. ■

The standard equations that are used in Theorem 1.1 all correspond to the first rows of each layer. It is also possible to use standard equations that instead use the last rows of each layer.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A be a m -by- m layered Toeplitz matrix with layers of size m_1, \dots, m_k . Suppose there are solutions $X^{(M_p)} = \text{col}(x_j^{(M_p)})_{j=1}^m$ to the k standard equations*

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k,$$

where, for each p , $M_p = \sum_{i \leq p} m_i$ denotes the last row of the p th layer. For each p , let t_p be the index of the first nonzero component of $X^{(M_p)}$, and let j be the index such that $t_j = \min\{t_p\}_{p=1, \dots, k}$.

- (a) If $m_j < t_j$, then A is not invertible.
- (b) If $m_j \geq t_j$ and there is a solution to

$$AX^{(M_j - t_j)} = E^{(M_j - t_j)},$$

then A is invertible with inverse

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=m_p}^1 \right]_{p=1}^k$$

where $Q = S_m^T - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_m^T$, $P = (X^{(M_j - t_j)} - Q^{t_j} X^{(M_j)}) F^{(1)} / x_{t_j}^{(M_j)}$ and S_m^T is the m -by- m upper shift matrix.

Proof. Let $A = [A^{(i)}]_{i=1}^k$ and

$$J_{(M)} = \begin{bmatrix} J_{m_1} & & & & \\ & J_{m_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & J_{m_k} \end{bmatrix},$$

where J_i is the i -by- i matrix $J_i = [\delta_{p, q-i+1}]_{p, q=1}^i$ having ones along the antidiagonal and zeros elsewhere. Then

$$J_{(M)} A J_m = \bar{A} = [\bar{A}^{(i)}]_{i=1}^k$$

is a layered Toeplitz matrix having components

$$\bar{a}_j^{(i)} = a_{m_i - m - j}^{(i)}.$$

The matrix \bar{A} also has layers of size m_1, \dots, m_k ; hence the first row of the i th layer is given by

$$\bar{M}_i = m_1 + \dots + m_{i-1} + 1 = M_i - m_i + 1.$$

We will show that the conditions on A in Theorem 3.1 are equivalent to the conditions on \bar{A} in Theorem 1.1.

For each i the standard equation

$$AX^{(M_i)} = E^{(M_i)}$$

is equivalent to

$$\bar{A}\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_i)} = E^{(\bar{M}_i)},$$

where $\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_i)} = J_m X^{(M_i)}$. Note that if \bar{h}_i is the last component of $\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_i)}$, then

$$\bar{h}_i = m - t_i + 1.$$

Clearly an index j such that $t_j = \min\{t_i\}$ corresponds to an index j such that $\bar{h}_j = \max\{\bar{h}_i\}$. If $m_j < t_j$ then $m_j < m - h_j + 1$, so \bar{A} (and hence A) is not invertible.

Similarly, from part (b) the condition $m_j \geq t_j$ corresponds to $m_j \geq m - \bar{h}_j + 1$ for such a j , while an equation of the form

$$AX^{(M_j - t_j)} = E^{(M_j - t_j)}$$

can be transformed into a solution of

$$\bar{A}\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_j + m - \bar{h}_j + 1)} = E^{(\bar{M}_j + m - \bar{h}_j + 1)},$$

where $\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_j + m - \bar{h}_j + 1)} = J_m X^{(M_j - t_j)}$. Therefore \bar{A} (and hence A) is invertible by Theorem 1.1. In this case the inverse of \bar{A} is given by

$$\bar{A}^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(\bar{Q} + \bar{P})^{i-1} \bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k$$

with $\bar{Q} = S_m - \sum_{p=1}^k \bar{X}^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_m$ and $\bar{P} = (\bar{X}^{(M_j+m-h_j+1)} - \bar{Q}^{m-h_j+1} \bar{X}^{(M_j)}) F^{(M_j)} / \bar{X}_{h_j}^{(M_j)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} A^{-1} &= J_m \bar{A}^{-1} J_{(M)} = J_m \operatorname{row} \left[\operatorname{row} \left[(\bar{Q} + \bar{P})^{i-1} \bar{X}^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k \cdot J_{(M)} \\ &= \operatorname{row} \left[\operatorname{row} \left[J_m (\bar{Q} + \bar{P})^{i-1} J_m X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k \cdot J_{(M)} \\ &= \operatorname{row} \left[\operatorname{row} \left[(J_m (\bar{Q} + \bar{P}) J_m)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k \cdot J_{(M)} \\ &= \operatorname{row} \left[\operatorname{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=m_p}^1 \right]_{p=1}^k, \end{aligned}$$

which gives part (b), since for example (setting $J = J_m$)

$$\begin{aligned} J S_m J - \sum_{p=1}^k J_m \bar{X}^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} \bar{A} S_m J \\ &= S_m^T - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} J \bar{A} J S_m^T \\ &= S_m^T - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_m^T, \end{aligned}$$

and a similar transformation shows that $J \bar{P} J = P$. ■

REMARK 4. Similar results hold for layered Hankel matrices (and also striped Hankel matrices). Indeed, if A is a layered Hankel matrix, the $J_{(M)} A$ is a layered Toeplitz matrix. Hence for such matrices the argument presented in Theorem 3.1 is the main technique required in translating the results in this paper to the layered and striped Hankel cases.

4. GENERIC MOSAIC TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Let $A = [A_{p,q}]_{p=1,q=1}^k$ with $A_{p,q} = [a_{i-j}^{(p,q)}]_{i=1,j=1}^{m_p, n_q}$, and $\sum_{p=1}^k m_p = \sum_{q=1}^l n_q$ be a mosaic Toeplitz matrix. In this section we consider the problem

of inverting a mosaic Toeplitz matrix using only standard equations. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k \leq l$; otherwise, we would consider A^T instead A . As in previous sections, M_p will mark the first row of the p th layer, and N_q the last column of the q th stripe.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be a mosaic Toeplitz matrix, and suppose there are solutions $X^{(M_p)} = \text{col}(x_j^{(M_p)})_{j=1, \dots, m}$ to the k standard equations*

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k. \quad (7)$$

Assume that the l -by- k matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{N_1}^{(M_1)} & \dots & x_{N_1}^{(M_k)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{N_l}^{(M_1)} & \dots & x_{N_l}^{(M_k)} \end{bmatrix},$$

has full rank l . If, for each $m_p > 1$, there are solutions

$$AX^{(M_{p+1})} = E^{(M_{p+1})}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k,$$

then A is invertible with inverse given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=1}^{m_p} \right]_{p=1}^k, \quad (8)$$

where

$$Q = S_{(N)} - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_{(N)},$$

and (setting $V^{(i)} = X^{(M_{i+1})}$ if $m_i > 1$ and 0 otherwise)

$$P = ([V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(k)}] - Q \cdot [X^{(M_1)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)}]) \cdot Y \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F^{(N_1)} \\ \vdots \\ F^{(N_l)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, Y is any right inverse of the rank- l l -by- k matrix X , i.e. $XY = I$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 the invertibility of A is equivalent to the existence of solutions to the equations

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k, \quad (9)$$

$$AZ^{(N_q)} = S_{(M)}AE^{(N_q)}, \quad q = 1, \dots, l; \quad (10)$$

hence we need to determine the $Z^{(N_i)}$, $i = 1, \dots, q$.

For any solutions of (7) Equation (1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(M)}AX^{(M_i)} &= AS_{(N)}X^{(M_i)} + \sum_{q=1}^l S_{(M)}AE^{(N_q)}x_{N_q}^{(M_i)} \\ &\quad - \sum_{p=1}^k E^{(M_p)}F^{(M_p)}AS_{(N)}X^{(M_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$S_{(M)}AX^{(M_i)} = S_{(M)}E^{(M_i)} = \begin{cases} E^{(M_i+1)} & \text{if } m_i > 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i = 1, \end{cases}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &[S_{(M)}AE^{(N_1)}, \dots, S_{(M)}AE^{(N_q)}] \cdot X \\ &= A \cdot ([V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(k)}] - [S_{(N)}X^{(M_1)}, \dots, S_{(N)}X^{(M_k)}]) \\ &+ [X^{(M_1)} \cdot F^{(M_1)} \cdot A \cdot S_{(N)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)} \cdot F^{(M_k)} \cdot A \cdot S_{(N)}][X^{(M_1)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)}], \end{aligned}$$

in which $V^{(i)} = X^{(M_i+1)}$ if $m_i > 1$ and 0 otherwise.

Therefore, since X has full rank, there are solutions to (10) given by

$$\begin{aligned} [Z^{(1)}, \dots, Z^{(k)}] &= \left\{ [V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(k)}] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (S_{(N)} - [X^{(M_1)}F^{(M_1)}AS_{(N)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)}F^{(M_k)}AS_{(N)}]) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times [X^{(M_1)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)}] \right\} \cdot Y; \end{aligned}$$

hence A is invertible with inverse given by (8). ■

REMARK 1. When $k = l = 1$, Theorem 4.1 is the same as the Gohberg-Krupnik formula.

THEOREM 4.2. Let A be a mosaic Toeplitz matrix, M_p the number of the last row of the p th layer, and N_q that of the first column of the q th stripe. Suppose there are solutions $X^{(M_p)} = \text{col}(x_j^{(M_p)})_{j=1}^m$ of the k standard equations

$$AX^{(M_p)} = E^{(M_p)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k, \tag{11}$$

and assume that the l -by- k matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{N_1}^{(M_1)} & \dots & x_{N_1}^{(M_k)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{N_l}^{(M_1)} & \dots & x_{N_l}^{(M_k)} \end{bmatrix}$$

has full rank l with right inverse Y . If, for each $m_p > 1$, there are solutions

$$AX^{(M_p-1)} = E^{(M_p-1)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k, \tag{12}$$

then A is invertible with inverse given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row} \left[\text{row} \left[(Q + P)^{i-1} X^{(M_p)} \right]_{i=m_p}^1 \right]_{p=1}^k,$$

where

$$Q = S_{(N)}^T - \sum_{p=1}^k X^{(M_p)} F^{(M_p)} A S_{(N)}^T,$$

and (setting $V^{(i)} = X^{(M_i-1)}$ if $m_i > 1$ and 0 otherwise)

$$P = ([V^{(1)}, \dots, V^{(k)}] - Q[X^{(M_1)}, \dots, X^{(M_k)}]) \cdot Y \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F^{(N_1)} \\ \vdots \\ F^{(N_l)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Indeed, let $\bar{A} = J_{(M)} A J_{(N)}$. Then \bar{A} is a mosaic Toeplitz matrix $[\bar{A}_{p,q}]_{p=1}^k \text{ }_{q=1}^l$ with the entries of $\bar{A}_{p,q}$ determined by

$$\bar{a}_i^{(p,q)} = a_{m_p - n_q - i}^{(p,q)}.$$

Note that each $\bar{A}^{(p,q)}$ also has size m_p by n_q .

It is a simple matter to use the argument of Theorem 3.1 to show that the conditions on A in Theorem 4.2 correspond to the conditions on \bar{A} in Theorem 4.1. Indeed, equations of the form (11) are equivalent to

$$\bar{A}\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_p)} = E^{(\bar{M}_p)} \quad p = 1, \dots, k,$$

where for each p , $\bar{M}_p = M_p - m_p + 1$ is the number of the first row of the p th layer of \bar{A} and $\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_p)} = J_{(N)} X^{(\bar{M}_p)}$. Note that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_{N_i}^{(M_k)} & \dots & x_{N_i}^{(M_1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{N_i}^{(M_k)} & \dots & x_{N_i}^{(M_1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{x}_{N_i}^{(\bar{M}_1)} & \dots & \bar{x}_{N_i}^{(\bar{M}_k)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \bar{x}_{N_i}^{(\bar{M}_1)} & \dots & \bar{x}_{N_i}^{(\bar{M}_k)} \end{bmatrix},$$

where \bar{N}_i is the last column of the i th stripe of \bar{A} .

Similarly, equations of the form (12) are equivalent to

$$\bar{A}\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_p+1)} = E^{(\bar{M}_p+1)}, \quad p = 1, \dots, k,$$

with $\bar{X}^{(\bar{M}_p+1)} = J_{(N)} X^{(\bar{M}_p-1)}$. Therefore \bar{A} , and hence also A , is invertible by Theorem 4.1. The inverse formula for A follows directly (using the arguments from Theorem 3.1) from the inverse formula for \bar{A} . ■

REMARK 2. Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 can also be given in the case of mosaic Hankel matrices.

REMARK 3. In the case of a block Toeplitz matrix there are examples where the inverse can be given in terms of solutions of standard row and standard column equations. For example, the formula of Gohberg and Heinig [3] gives the inverse once the first and last block rows and columns of the inverse are known. Inversion formula in terms only of solutions to standard block row and block column equations are also given in Ben-Artzi and

Shalom [2] and Lerer and Tismenetsky [14]. Using appropriate row and column permutations, it is easy to see that block Toeplitz matrices are the same as mosaic Toeplitz matrices having constant width stripes and constant height layers. In this context the Gohberg-Heinig formula describes the inverse in terms of solutions of standard column equations corresponding to the first and last columns of each stripe and standard row equations corresponding to the first and last rows of each layer. It would be of interest to generalize such formula to inverses of more general mosaic matrices. This would also be true of corresponding mosaic forms of the formula of Ben-Artzi and Shalom and Lerer and Tismenetsky, even in the generic case. It is an open question whether or not one can construct an inverse for a nongeneric mosaic Toeplitz matrix using only standard row or standard column equations.

5. GENERIC TOEPLITZ-PLUS-HANKEL MATRICES

In this section we show that the techniques used previously are also applicable to matrices having the structure of a Toeplitz-plus-Hankel matrix. In this case we obtain results of Heinig and Rost [10]. Thus let $A = T + H$, where $T = [t_{i-j}]_{i,j=1}^m$ is a Toeplitz matrix and $H = [h_{i+j-1}]_{i,j=1}^m$ is a Hankel matrix. The matrix $U = S_m + S_m^T$ takes the role of the shift matrix of previous sections.

LEMMA 5.1. $A = T + H$ is invertible if and only if there are solutions to

$$\begin{aligned} AX^{(1)} &= E^{(1)}, & AZ^{(1)} &= (S^T T + SH)E^{(1)}, \\ AX^{(m)} &= E^{(m)}, & AZ^{(m)} &= (S^T T + SH)E^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$$A^{-1} = \text{row}[Q^{i-1}X^{(1)}]_{i=1}^m \cdot R^{-1},$$

where

$$Q = Q_1 S + Q_2 S^T + Z^{(1)} F^{(1)} + Z^{(m)} F^{(m)}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= I - X^{(1)} F^{(1)} T - X^{(m)} F^{(m)} H, \\ Q_2 &= I - X^{(1)} F^{(1)} H - X^{(m)} F^{(m)} T, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$R = \text{row}[U^{i-1}E^{(1)}]_{i=1}^m$$

Proof. Set $P = U$, and note that

$$\begin{aligned} PA &= AP + (S + S^T)(T + H) - (T + H)(S + S^T) \\ &= (ST - TS) + (S^T T - TS^T) + (SH - HS^T) + (S^T H - HS) \\ &= (S^T T + SH)E^{(1)}F^{(1)} + (ST + S^T H)E^{(m)}F^{(m)} \\ &\quad - E^{(1)}F^{(1)}(TS + HS^T) - E^{(m)}F^{(m)}(HS + TS^T) \\ &= AQ. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R = \text{row}[P^{i-1}AX^{(1)}]_{i=1}^m$ is invertible, the result then follows directly from Lemma 2.1. \blacksquare

THEOREM 5.2. *Let $A = T + H$, and suppose there are solutions to the four standard equation*

$$\begin{aligned} AX^{(1)} &= E^{(1)}, \quad AX^{(2)} = E^{(2)}, \\ AX^{(m-1)} &= E^{(m-1)}, \quad AX^{(m)} = E^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose the 2-by-2 matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(1)} & x_1^{(m)} \\ x_m^{(1)} & x_m^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$

is invertible. Then A is invertible with inverse given by

$$A^{-1} = \text{row}[(Q + P)^{i-1}X^{(1)}]_{i=1}^m \cdot R^{-1}$$

where $Q = Q_1S + Q_2S^T$ with

$$Q_1 = I - X^{(1)}F^{(1)}T - X^{(m)}F^{(m)}H,$$

$$Q_2 = I - X^{(1)}F^{(1)}H - X^{(m)}F^{(m)}T,$$

$$P = ([X^{(2)}, X^{(m-1)}] - Q[X^{(1)}, X^{(m)}]) \cdot X^{-1} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} F^{(1)} \\ F^{(m)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$R = \text{row}[U^{i-1}E^{(1)}]_{i=1}^m.$$

Proof. For notational convenience let

$$B_1 = S^T T + S H, \quad B_2 = S T + S^T H,$$

$$B_3 = T S + H S^T, \quad B_4 = H S + T S^T.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(2)} = U E^{(1)} &= A U X^{(1)} + B_1 E^{(1)} x_1^{(1)} + B_2 E^{(m)} x_m^{(1)} \\ &\quad - A X^{(1)} F^{(1)} B_3 X^{(1)} - A X^{(m)} F^{(m)} B_4 X^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E^{(m-1)} = U E^{(m)} &= A U X^{(m)} + B_1 E^{(1)} x_1^{(m)} + B_2 x_m^{(m)} \\ &\quad - A X^{(m)} F^{(1)} B_3 X^{(m)} - A X^{(m)} F^{(m)} B_4 X^{(m)}; \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} &[B_1 E^{(1)}, B_2 E^{(m)}] \cdot X \\ &= [B_1 E^{(1)} x_0^{(1)} + B_2 - E^{(m)} x_{m-1}^{(1)}, B_1 E^{(1)} x_0^{(m)} + B_2 E^{(m)} x_{m-1}^{(m)}] \\ &= [B_1 E^{(1)} F^{(1)} X^{(1)} + B_2 E^{(m)} F^{(m)} X^{(1)}, B_1 E^{(1)} F^{(1)} X^{(m)} + B_2 E^{(m)} F^{(m)} X^{(m)}] \\ &= A[Y^{(1)}, Y^{(2)}], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Y^{(1)} = X^{(2)} + X^{(1)} F^{(1)} B_3 X^{(1)} + X^{(m)} F^{(m)} B_4 X^{(1)} - U X^{(1)},$$

$$Y^{(2)} = X^{(m-1)} + X^{(1)} F^{(1)} B_3 X^{(m)} + X^{(m)} F^{(m)} B_4 X^{(m)} - U X^{(m)}.$$

Therefore, when X is invertible we obtain

$$[Z^{(1)}, Z^{(m)}] = [Y^{(1)}, Y^{(m)}] \cdot X^{-1},$$

which implies that A is invertible by Lemma 5.1. The inverse formula also follows directly from the previous lemma. ■

The authors would like to thank an anonymous referee for pointing out some errors in the original proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- 1 A. Ben-Artzi and T. Shalom, On inversion of Toeplitz and close to Toeplitz Matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 75:173–192 (1986).
- 2 A. Ben-Artzi and T. Shalom, On inversion of block-Toeplitz matrices, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 8:751–779 (1985).
- 3 I. C. Gohberg and G. Heinig, Inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices consisting of elements of a non-commutative algebra (in Russian), *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl.* 19:623–663 (1974).
- 4 I. C. Gohberg and N. Ya. Krupnik, A formula for the inversion of finite Toeplitz matrices (in Russian), *Mat. Issled.* 2:272–283 (1972).
- 5 I. C. Gohberg and A. A. Semencul, On the inversion of finite Toeplitz Matrices and their continuous analogs (in Russian), *Mat. Issled.* 2:201–233, (1972), 75:173–192 (1986).
- 6 I. C. Gohberg and T. Shalom, On inversion of square matrices partitioned into non-square blocks, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 12:539–566 (1989).
- 7 G. Heinig, Structure theory and fast inversion of Hankel striped matrices, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 11:205–229 (1988).
- 8 G. Heinig and T. Amdebrhan, On the inverses of Hankel and Toeplitz mosaic matrices, in *Seminar on Analysis of Operator Equations and Numerical Analysis, 1987 / 1988*, Karl-Weierstrass-Institut für Mathematik, 1988, p. 53–65.
- 9 G. Heinig and K. Rost, *Algebraic Methods for Toeplitz-like Matrices and Operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.
- 10 G. Heinig and K. Rost, Matrix representations of Toeplitz-plus-Hankel matrix inverses, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 113:65–78 (1989).
- 11 G. Labahn, Inversion components of block Hankel matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 177:7–48 (1992).
- 12 G. Labahn and T. Shalom, Inversion of Toeplitz matrices with only two standard equations, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 175:143–158 (1992).
- 13 L. Lerer and M. Tismenetsky, Generalized Bezoutians and the inversion problem for block matrices, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* 9:790–819 (1986).
- 14 L. Lerer and M. Tismenetsky, Toeplitz Classification of Matrices and Inversions Formulas, II. Block-Toeplitz and Perturbed Block-Toeplitz Matrices, Technical Report 88.197, IBM-Israel Scientific Center, Haifa, Aug. 1986.

Received 31 July 1992; final manuscript accepted 20 February 1993